



# eport

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

TELECOMMUNICATIONS CIRCUIT ALLOCATION PROGRAMS - SAN ANTONIO AREA

Report No. 94-051

March 11, 1994

20000404 068

#### Department of Defense

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

AQT00-07-1680

#### **Additional Copies**

To obtain additional copies of this report, contact the Reports Distribution Unit, Audit Planning and Technical Support Directorate, at (703) 614-6303 (DSN 224-6303) or FAX (703) 614-8542.

#### **Suggestions for Future Audits**

To suggest ideas for or request future audits, contact the Planning and Coordination Branch, Audit Planning and Technical Support Directorate, at (703) 614-1868 (DSN 224-1868) or FAX (703) 614-8542. Ideas and requests can also be mailed to:

> Inspector General, Department of Defense OAIG-AUD (ATTN: APTS Audit Suggestions) 400 Army Navy Drive (Room 801) Arlington, Virginia 22202-2884

#### **DoD Hotline**

To report fraud, waste, or abuse, call the DoD Hotline at (800) 424-9098 (DSN 223-5080) or write to the DoD Hotline, The Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20301-1900. The identity of writers and callers is fully protected.

#### Acronyms

AFB	Air Force Base
AFC4A	Air Force Command, Control, Communications, and
	Computer Agency
AFNET	Air Force Integrated Telecommunications Network
AUTOVON	Automatic Voice Network
CCSD	Command Communications Service Designator
CISA	Communications Information Services Activity
CONUS	Continental United States
CSA	Communications Service Authorization
DCA	Defense Communications Agency
DCS	Defense Communications System
DCTN	Defense Commercial Telecommunications Network
DDN	Defense Data Network

**DECCO Defense Commercial Communications Office** 

Defense Information Systems Agency DISA

Defense Switched Network DSN Federal Telephone System FTS Request for Service **RFS** 

Telecommunications Certification Office TCO

**TMSO** Telecommunications Management and Services Office

Worldwide On-Line System **WWOLS** 



# INSPECTOR GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 400 ARMY NAVY DRIVE ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202-2884



March 11, 1994

# MEMORANDUM FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE (FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND COMPTROLLER) DIRECTOR, DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY

SUBJECT: Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - San Antonio Area (Report No. 94-051)

We are providing this final report for your review and comments. The report identifies reconfiguration and termination opportunities for leased long-haul, special-purpose telecommunications circuits.

Significant changes, in the form of Defense Management Report Decision No. 918, "Defense Information Infrastructure," and DoD Instruction 4640.14, "Base and Long-Haul Telecommunications Equipment and Services," transferred responsibilities for configuration management for Defense Communications System telecommunications circuits during our audit and subsequent to the issuance of our draft report. A detailed explanation of the changes is provided in the Background section in Part II of the report. The recommendations in this final audit report have been redirected accordingly.

DoD Directive 7650.3 requires that all audit recommendations be resolved promptly. Recommendations and monetary benefits are subject to resolution in accordance with DoD Directive 7650.3 in the event of nonconcurrence or failure to comment. It is requested that you provide comments on the redirected recommendations and the revised potential monetary benefits by May 10, 1994.

The courtesies extended to the audit staff are appreciated. If you have questions on this audit, please contact Mr. Robert M. Murrell at (703) 692-2945 (DSN 222-2945) or Ms. Annie L. Sellers at (703) 692-2890 (DSN 222-2890). The distribution of this report is listed in Appendix L.

David K. Steensma
Deputy Assistant Inspector General

for Auditing

David H. Steinema

#### Office of the Inspector General, DoD

Report No. 94-051 Project No. 0RD-0043.01

March 11, 1994

#### TELECOMMUNICATIONS CIRCUIT ALLOCATION PROGRAMS - SAN ANTONIO AREA

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Introduction. This audit was performed as a segment of our Audit of Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs and involved reviews at various DoD organizations in the San Antonio, Texas, metropolitan area. For this segment of the audit, we evaluated single and multichannel (special-purpose) circuits in the San Antonio area. We performed the audit in two phases based on management responses to the draft of this report. The 857 Defense Communications System (DCS) circuits and associated equipment items we evaluated cost about \$7.1 million annually, excluding overhead, rate stabilization, and common-user (general-purpose) subscriber charges.

Objectives. The overall objective of the audit was to determine whether DoD circuit allocation programs identified and used the most effective configurations for leased long-haul, special-purpose telecommunications circuits. The specific objectives of this segment of the audit were to determine whether the most cost-effective circuit configurations were used and whether existing leased telecommunications services were discontinued when no longer required.

Audit Results. For the DCS single and multichannel special-purpose circuits, reconfiguration opportunities were not effectively identified and requirements were not adequately revalidated. Of the 193 sampled circuits, 84 were not cost-effective and 8 were not required. In addition, one circuit, not included in our audit universe or sample, could be discontinued.

Internal Controls. The internal control program as it applies to circuit allocation programs is the responsibility of the communications commands within the Military Departments, Defense agencies, and the Defense Information Systems Agency. This audit was performed at the installation and activity level. Therefore, internal controls were not assessed during this audit.

Potential Benefits of Audit. Reconfiguration and termination solutions could reduce the cost of the 857 DCS circuits by a projected \$2.6 million annually in FY 1991 dollars (plus or minus 16.9 percent at a 90-percent confidence level). Over FY 1994 through FY 1996, we determined that reconfiguration or termination opportunities in the San Antonio area could reduce costs by \$8.9 million. Appendix J describes the potential benefits resulting from the audit.

Summary of Recommendations. We recommended that the appropriate users initiate Requests for Service to reconfigure or disconnect telecommunications circuits identified for reconfiguration or termination. Recommendation 1.a. in the draft report to determine the technical feasibility of reconfiguration has been deleted in the final report since our reevaluations determined technical feasibility and net cost avoidances for the circuits listed in Appendix C. Also, Recommendations 1.b. and 1.c. in the draft report were incorporated into final report Recommendation 1.

Management Comments. The Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management) and the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller) concurred with the finding and recommendations, but neither concurred with the potential monetary benefits. Further, their comments were not fully responsive because the Army and Air Force did not consider all technical solutions available for achieving cost-effective configurations and did not include the detailed results of their determinations of the technical feasibility and associated net cost savings for circuits recommended for reconfiguration in the draft report. Consequently, we performed additional evaluations to determine the technical feasibility and associated net cost savings for circuits recommended for reconfiguration. The results of those reevaluation efforts are provided in this final report. Our reevaluation identified reconfiguration opportunities for the Army, the Air Force, the Defense Logistics Agency and the Defense Mapping Agency. The details of our reevaluation analysis are shown in Appendix C and a summary of the results of our reevaluation is shown in Appendix I.

Because of the changes in responsibilities discussed in the transmittal memorandum, we have redirected the recommendations. Therefore, the Defense Information Systems Agency is requested to review the circuits identified in the report for reconfiguration and the associated net cost savings and provide the results of their review only for those circuits determined not technically feasible to reconfigure. The Air Force is requested to review the circuits identified in the report for termination. A full discussion of management comments and audit responses are in Part II, and the complete texts of managements' comments are in Part IV of this report. We request that the addressees provide comments by May 10, 1994.

#### **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	
Part I - Introduction	1
Background	2
Objectives	3
Scope Internal Controls	4
Prior Audits and Other Reviews	
Part II - Finding And Recommendations	7
Reconfiguration and Termination of Special-Purpose Circuits	8
Part III - Additional Information	17
Appendix A. Glossary	18
Appendix B. Prior Audits and Other Reviews	21
Appendix C. Schedule of Circuits Recommended for Reconfiguration Appendix D. Schedule of Circuits and Payments Recommended for	24
Termination Appendix E. Schedule of Non-Sample Circuit Recommended for Termination	59
Appendix F. Summary Schedule of Circuits Recommended for	60
Reconfiguration and Termination Appendix G. Schedule of Future Years Defense Program Impact of	61
Reconfiguration and Termination Opportunities Appendix H. Schedule of Future Years Defense Program Impact of a	62
Termination Opportunity for a Non-Sample Circuit	63
Appendix I. Results of Reevaluation	64
Appendix J. Summary of Potential Benefits Resulting from Audit	66
Appendix K. Organizations Visited or Contacted	67
Appendix L. Report Distribution	68
Part IV - Management Comments	71
Department of the Army	72
Department of the Air Force	78

This report was prepared by the Readiness and Operational Support Directorate, Office of the Assistant Inspector General for Auditing, Department of Defense.

#### **Part I - Introduction**

#### **Background**

The Defense Communications System (DCS) is a worldwide composite of DoDowned and leased telecommunications subsystems and networks composed of facilities, personnel, services, and equipment under the management and operational direction of the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA). The DCS provides long-haul, common-user or backbone (general-purpose) and dedicated or point-to-point (special-purpose) telecommunications services for the DoD and other Government agencies. The leased services consist of generalpurpose networks, such as the Defense Information Systems Network (to be initially composed of the Defense Switched Network [DSN], the Defense Data Network [DDN], and Military Department subnetworks); the Federal Telephone System (FTS) 2000; and special-purpose circuits, trunks, and networks. The DCS does not include communications facilities organic to military forces; tactical telecommunications; base communications (communications within the confines of a post, camp, base, and station, including local interconnect trunks to the first commercial central office providing service in the local area); or on-site facilities associated with or integral to weapon systems.

Requirements for telecommunications services are determined through organizations such as the headquarters of the Military Departments and Defense agencies, major commands, communications management offices, and installation-level organizations. The DISA operates the Communications Information Services Activity (CISA) (formerly the Communications Services Industrial Fund) to procure authorized commercial communications services, facilities, and equipment for the DoD and other Government agencies. This procurement function is carried out by the Defense Commercial Communications Office (DECCO), which is the operating arm of the CISA and a subelement of the DISA Acquisition Management Organization. The DECCO issues Communication Service Authorizations (CSAs) as part of the procurement process to obtain telecommunications services.

CSAs are service contracts normally placed against basic ordering agreements established by DECCO with various communications vendors. CSAs are authorized by the Telecommunications Management and Services Office (TMSO) through Telecommunications Service Orders. The TMSO is also a subelement of the DISA Acquisition Management Organization. A Telecommunications Service Order is based on a Telecommunications Service Request that a DoD Component submits to the TMSO through its Telecommunications Certification Office (TCO). Each Telecommunications Service Request is based on a Request for Service (RFS) that a communications manager or user activity official (such as a local commander, a major

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A glossary in Appendix A defines communications terms used in this report.

command's communications manager, or a network's communications manager) submits to the responsible TCO. To connect new service or to reconfigure, reroute (rehome), or disconnect existing service, a communications manager or user activity official must prepare an RFS.

Within the Continental United States, the certification functions for the Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are performed by elements of the U.S. Army Information Systems Command (U.S. Army Commercial Communications Office), the Naval Computer and Telecommunications Command (Navy TCO), and the Air Force Command, Control, Communications, and Computer Agency<sup>2</sup> (Air Force TCO), respectively.<sup>3</sup> Defense agencies are authorized to have their own internal certification function. The certification officials review each RFS, prepare the subsequent Telecommunications Service Request, and certify that each RFS is valid, approved, and funded.

The TMSO maintains the Worldwide On-Line System (WWOLS), a DCS data base that is composed of existing circuits and trunks, and assigns a Command Communications Service Designator (CCSD) to each circuit and trunk in the WWOLS. The CCSDs identify circuits and trunks leased and owned by the DoD. DECCO maintains a data base<sup>4</sup> that is used to record communications vendors' billings and the resulting payments, and in turn, the charges to DoD customers for communications services and resulting payments.

#### **Objectives**

This audit was performed as the first of three segments of Project No. 0RD-0043, "Audit of Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs." The other segments of the audit were performed in the Kansas City, Missouri, and the Jacksonville, Florida, metropolitan areas. The overall objective of the audit was to determine whether DoD circuit allocation programs identified and used the most effective configurations for leased long-haul, special-purpose telecommunications circuits. Specifically, the audit determined whether the most cost-effective circuit configurations were used and whether existing leased telecommunications services were discontinued when no longer required.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Formerly the Air Force Communications Command.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Subsequent to our audit field work, the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence) directed in a memorandum dated October 1, 1993, that the TCO certification functions be transferred to DISA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Subsequent to our audit field work, the WWOLS and DECCO data bases, along with other information, were combined to form the Defense Information Services Database System.

In a draft of this report, we provided candidate circuits for reconfiguration to the Military Department and Defense agency communications managers to allow them to evaluate the candidate circuits and develop or propose more cost-effective solutions. However, in responding to the draft report, the Army and the Air Force did not consider all technical solutions available for achieving cost-effective configurations and did not include the detailed results of determinations of the technical feasibility and associated net cost savings for the candidate circuits. Consequently, we initiated a second phase of the audit and revised our universe and sample. We took extensive steps to verify the communication requirements and to reevaluate reconfiguration opportunities for the sampled circuits. This final report discusses our reevaluation of the candidate circuits.

#### Scope

Six DoD organizations in the San Antonio, Texas, metropolitan area were reviewed. During the first phase of this audit (details were provided in a draft of this report), our universe was comprised of 864 CCSDs in the WWOLS data base for DCS single and multichannel special-purpose circuits. The cutoff date of the universe data was October 6, 1989. General-purpose circuits were excluded from the universe. The special-purpose circuits cost the Government \$7.9 million annually. Those costs were exclusive of overhead, rate stabilization, and general-purpose subscriber charges. From the 864 CCSDs, we randomly selected a statistical sample of 205 CCSDs that cost \$1.7 million annually.

The universe for the second phase of the audit (discussed in this final report) was comprised of 857 CCSDs that cost \$7.1 million annually. The statistical sample was comprised of 193 randomly selected CCSDs that cost \$1.6 million annually. We did not assess the reliability of computer-processed data obtained from the WWOLS and the DECCO data bases that were used in the audit. Any inaccuracies in those data bases will not affect the results of the audit or the recommendations.

This economy and efficiency audit was made in two phases from February through May 1990 and from January through July 1991. The audit was made in accordance with auditing standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States as implemented by the Inspector General, DoD. We reviewed current and historical records as they related to the audit cutoff date, October 6, 1989. A list of organizations visited or contacted is in Appendix K.

#### **Internal Controls**

The internal control program, as it applies to circuit allocation programs and is defined by the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act of 1982, is the responsibility of the communications commands within the Military Departments, Defense agencies, and DISA. Since the responsibility for internal controls for circuit allocation programs is not vested with the installation or activity communications management function, we did not assess internal controls.

#### **Prior Audits and Other Reviews**

Eight prior audit reports by the Inspector General, DoD, showed that similar problems occurred regarding uneconomical leases of telecommunications services and equipment and services and equipment no longer required. Details on those audits are discussed in Appendix B.

This page was left out of orignial document

### **Part II - Finding And Recommendations**

# Reconfiguration and Termination of Special-Purpose Circuits

Government organizations in the San Antonio area are paying for special-purpose circuits and equipment items that are either not cost-effective or no longer required. The Departments of the Army and Air Force, the Defense Logistics Agency, and the Defense Mapping Agency did not effectively identify reconfiguration opportunities and did not adequately revalidate requirements for 857 CCSDs representing telecommunications circuits and equipment items, costing about \$7.1 million annually, that were leased or owned by DoD organizations in the San Antonio area. Of the 193 sampled circuits, 84 (43.5 percent) were not cost-effective and 8 (4.1 percent) were not required. During the execution of the FY 1994 through FY 1996 Future Years Defense Program, about \$8.9 million could be put to better use if those 92 circuits are either reconfigured or terminated. Finally, for that same period, about \$.015 million could be put to better use if one circuit that was not part of our audit universe or sample is terminated.

#### **Background**

Reconfiguration Guidance. In March 1973, the function of centralized management and engineering for all DoD nontactical, off-base multiplexing was assigned to the DISA by the Deputy Secretary of Defense. The assignment of that responsibility was incorporated in DoD Directive 5105.19, "Defense Communications Agency (DCA)," August 10, 1978. However, that Directive has since been revised, and the current Directive, "Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA)," June 25, 1991, does not clearly define who is responsible for multiplexing within the DoD. Further, Office of the Inspector General, DoD, "Defense Communications Agency," Inspection Report No. 91-INS-08, May 10, 1991, indicated the lack of clearly defined responsibility and states: "There is no single DCA organization executing the responsibility for circuit allocation, related circuit and trunk transmission engineering, and data base services (i.e., maintenance of the World-Wide On-Line System [WWOLS])." In December 1991, DoD guidance concerning circuit configuration management required the transfer of that responsibility.

DoD Instruction 4640.14 "Base and Long-Haul Telecommunications Equipment and Services," December 6, 1991, provided some clarification on responsibility for the reconfiguration of circuits. The Instruction states that the DISA shall manage and acquire long-haul telecommunications equipment and services for the DoD and that this responsibility includes determining which component (i.e., the common-user systems such as DDN or DSN) of the DCS or contract (i.e., FTS 2000 or new acquisition) will satisfy the DoD Components' long-haul telecommunications requirements. The Instruction further states that the DISA shall work with the DoD Components in planning for the most effective and economical long-haul telecommunications equipment and service acquisitions for the DoD. The Instruction also states that the DISA and the DoD Components shall ensure that the optimal mix of long-haul telecommunications equipment and services is installed to support mission requirements and that traffic studies, configuration analysis, and engineering shall be conducted for each DoD base, post, camp, station, and installation at least every 2 years.

Defense Management Report Decision No. 918 (Decision 918), "Defense Information Infrastructure," September 15, 1992, redirected additional tasks and functions in the communications area from the Military Departments to the DISA. Decision 918 states that the information structure supporting the Defense mission must provide Department-wide, end-to-end information support capability that encompass collection, generation, storage, display, and dissemination of information. Under Decision 918, the DISA became the central manager of the Defense information infrastructure, and that role includes network management, engineering, design, and control of long-haul and regional communications, as well as technical management of base-level communications.

Termination Guidance. Guidance on telecommunications services that are no longer required is in DoD Directive 4640.13 "Management of Base and Long-Haul Telecommunications Equipment and Services," December 5, 1991. The Directive states that the DoD Components shall discontinue telecommunications equipment or services for which a bona fide need no longer exists.

#### **Verifying Communications Requirements and Configurations**

To accomplish our audit objective, we took extensive steps to verify the communications requirements and configurations for the sample circuits. We reviewed current and historical records addressing the established configuration and requirements justifications, and we examined the physical locations for each of the sample CCSDs. We contacted all organizations within the Military Departments, Defense agencies, and DISA identified to us as having knowledge about the usage or requirement and configuration of a circuit. The contacts helped us to determine whether the requirement for the circuit was valid and to identify reconfiguration opportunities. We applied the following three criteria in determining whether the telecommunications services and configurations were justified.

- o A need to communicate must have existed on October 6, 1989, the cutoff date of our audit universe.
- o If a need to communicate existed, the sample circuit must have been configured in the most cost-effective manner.
- o The user must have been able to physically locate the sample circuit.

If a sample circuit failed to meet any one of the criteria, we concluded that a valid requirement no longer existed for the circuit in its established configuration.

#### **Circuit Reconfigurations and Disconnections**

Reconfiguration Techniques. Reconfiguration techniques could include rehoming of circuits, dial-up service, and the use of general-purpose networks. Rehoming of circuits involves the diversion of a transmission medium from one switch or node to another switch or node. Normally, this diversion is made to the nearest location, and the result is either a more cost-effective leased circuit or the disconnection of a leased circuit and the use of a Government-owned transmission medium. Dial-up service is a temporary connection, via the public telephone network and normally precludes the need for a leased circuit. Utilization of general-purpose networks (such as the DSN, the DDN, or the FTS 2000) negates the need for a special-purpose leased circuit. The use of reconfiguration techniques has proved to be a source of significant savings and budgetary reductions for the DoD.

Multiplexing is another reconfiguration technique and consists of combining two or more independent circuits (e.g., voice, data, or video) into a composite signal through the use of equipment, such as a multiplexer or a sophisticated modem. The signal is then sent via the transmission medium to similar multiplexing equipment at the receiving end, where the process is reversed, restoring the circuits to their original state. This technique includes various combinations of single-channel circuits, multichannel circuits with idle capacity, or fully utilized multichannel circuits that can be consolidated into even larger multichannel circuits. It is more economical to use multiplexing techniques when the cost of leasing a number of independent circuits exceeds the cost of acquiring a multiplex system. With the advent of competition in telecommunications services due to the divestiture of the AT&T, multiplexing has become a very cost-effective technique in the management of special-purpose telecommunications services.

Reconfigurations. The potential exists for significant cost avoidances through the use of reconfiguration techniques. The circuits identified as candidates for potential reconfiguration in this audit should be reviewed by DoD communications managers to determine the technical feasibility of reconfigurations and the associated cost avoidances. From our sample of

193 circuits, we identified 84 (43.5 percent) circuits, leased at a cost of \$865,560 annually as candidates for potential reconfiguration. If technically feasible, reconfiguration actions could avoid costs of \$555,204 annually or 64 percent of the annual leased costs of the 84 sampled circuits and associated equipment items. Results of our analyses of various technical solutions and associated cost avoidances for the circuits in our sample are shown in Appendix C.

Our sampled circuits were identified as candidates for reconfiguration if they were not cost-effective in their established configurations. The specific technical feasibility and associated cost avoidances of reconfiguration solutions, however, need to be determined by DoD communications managers. Communications managers may be able to identify and should seek more viable technical and cost-effective solutions than our proposed options. Technical solutions that need to be considered in achieving cost-effective configurations include: multiplexing, rehoming special-purpose circuits to a general-purpose network, rehoming special-purpose access circuits within a general-purpose network, establishing dial-up service, and purchasing leased communications equipment.

Multiplexing. Forty-eight circuits, leased at a cost of \$427,656 annually, could be reconfigured by establishing new multichannel trunks through multiplexing techniques. Reconfiguration of the 48 sample circuits could save \$236,100 annually. The details on reconfiguration solutions are shown in Appendix C, Category 1, Tables 1. through 12.

Rehoming Special-Purpose Circuits to a General-Purpose Network. Fifteen circuits, leased at a cost of \$196,668 annually, were acquired as special-purpose circuits, although the services could be provided by a general-purpose network. Rehoming the 15 sample circuits to a general-purpose network could save \$145,200 annually. The details on rehoming those circuits are shown in Appendix C, Category 2, Tables 1. and 2.

Rehoming Special-Purpose Access Circuits Within a General-Purpose Network. We identified 14 DDN access circuits, leased at a cost of \$88,416 annually, that were not connected to the nearest DDN node. Rehoming the 14 sample circuits to the nearest node could save \$88,188 annually. The details on rehoming those circuits are shown in Appendix C, Category 3.

Establishing Dial-Up Service. Five special-purpose circuits, leased at a cost of \$86,844 annually, did not have sufficient utilization (traffic volume) to justify dedicated service. An analysis of the traffic associated with those circuits indicated that establishing dial-up service for only the transmission time needed would satisfy the communication requirement. Establishing dial-up service and disconnecting the five special-purpose sample circuits could save \$80,364 annually. The details on dial-up service for those circuits are shown in Appendix C, Category 4.

Purchasing Leased Communications Equipment. Two circuits with eight modems were leased at a cost of \$5,448 annually. Purchase of the modems would be considerably more cost-effective. The modems and

associated maintenance could have been obtained through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase contract maintained by the DECCO. Purchasing the eight leased modems could save \$5,352 annually. The details on purchasing the equipment are shown in Appendix C, Category 5.

Disconnections. We identified eight circuits and associated equipment items, leased at a cost of \$25,548 annually, that either were no longer required or could not be located. The eight circuits represent 4.1 percent of the audit sample reviewed and were being paid for by the Air Force. Sampled items were identified as candidates for disconnection if the need to communicate using the existing service, as of the cutoff date of our audit universe, was no longer required. Requests for Service or Telecommunications Services Requests, as appropriate, should be initiated through designated channels to terminate both the physical connection of the circuit and the payment to the vendor. Disconnecting those eight circuits could save \$25,548 annually. Details on the circuits that are candidates for disconnection are shown in Appendix D.

Using statistical sampling techniques, we determined that reconfiguration and termination solutions could reduce the cost of the 857 DCS circuits by a projected \$2,578,782 annually (plus or minus 16.9 percent or plus or minus \$435,365 at a 90-percent confidence level). Our method was to add the potential annual savings for reconfigurations (after first allocating the potential annual savings to the circuits proportionately to their original costs) identified in Appendix C to the potential annual savings for terminations identified in Appendix D.

Non-Sample Circuit. During our audit work in the San Antonio area, we found that one circuit, leased at an annual cost of \$2,256, was no longer required. The circuit was not a part of our audit universe or sample and was used by the Air Force. Disconnecting the circuit could save \$2,256 annually. Non-sample items were identified as candidates for disconnection if the need to communicate using the existing service was no longer required.

Termination of the non-sample circuit could save \$14,778 during the execution of the FY 1991 through FY 1996 Future Years Defense Program. An RFS or Telecommunications Service Request, as appropriate, should be initiated through designated channels to terminate both the physical connection of the circuit and the payment to the vendor. Potential cost avoidances that may be obtained by disconnecting the non-sample circuit are shown in Appendix E.

A summary of all sample and non-sample circuits recommended for reconfiguration and termination is shown in Appendix F. The projected cost avoidances that may be obtained for the Future Years Defense Program are shown in Appendix G for the sampled circuits and in Appendix H for the non-sample circuit. Appendix I shows the results of our reevaluation. Appendix J shows the summary of all potential monetary benefits (\$16,770,978) resulting from the audit.

## Recommendations, Management Comments, and Audit Responses

1. We recommend that the Director, Defense Information Systems Agency, take appropriate action to reconfigure circuits listed in Appendix C.

Changes to Recommendations for the Final Report. Subsequent to the issuance of the draft audit report, responsibilities for determining technical performing configuration management solutions and telecommunications circuits were transferred within the DoD, as described in the Background section in Part II. Our position is that the recommendation, if implemented, offers opportunities for substantial communications cost We maintain that the DISA is in the best position to take avoidances. appropriate action whether that action is directing the Military Department and Defense agency communication managers to reconfigure the circuits or instructing DISA communications managers to reconfigure those circuits on behalf of the DoD Components. Further, the Air Force Command, Control, Communications, and Computer Agency (successor organization to the Air Force Communications Command) has been designated as a field operating activity of the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, Command, Control, Communications and Computers, Department of the Air Force. The Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, Command, Control, Communications and Computers is in the best position to take appropriate action to terminate Air Force circuits. Therefore, the recommendations in this final audit report have been redirected accordingly. Also, Recommendation 1.a. in the draft report has been deleted in the final report since our reevaluations determined technical feasibility and net cost avoidances for the circuits listed in Appendix C, and Recommendations 1.b. and 1.c. in the draft report were incorporated into Recommendation 1. Recommendation 2. in the final report was redirected to a higher level.

Army Comments. The Army concurred with the finding and recommendations in the draft report, but nonconcurred with the monetary benefits. The Army stated that the U.S. Army Commercial Communications Office examined each of the circuits identified in the draft report and found none that could be reconfigured to achieve cost avoidances. Further, the Army stated that since reconfiguration on a DoD-wide basis may produce different results and that if a DISA evaluation of DoD-wide reconfiguration indicates a more efficient configuration, the Army would initiate the appropriate RFSs. The Army agreed with the need to rehome two Fort Sam Houston, Texas, DDN access circuits but did not agree with the estimated annual cost avoidances. The Army contended that the leased cost of the equipment would still remain after the circuits are rehomed and that only the leased mileage cost would be eliminated. The complete text of the Army's comments is in Part IV of this report.

Audit Response. The draft report identified 15 Army circuits for reconfiguration and 2 DDN access circuits for rehoming. The Army's evaluation of the 15 reconfiguration candidates did not consider all technical solutions available for achieving cost-effective configurations as requested in the

draft report. Our reevaluations of the 15 circuits for reconfiguration showed that 5 were no longer reconfiguration candidates. The remaining 10 circuits are shown in Appendix I and details of our reevaluation are shown in Appendix C.

The reevaluations showed that the draft report conclusion for circuit UJNV 7BIT was in error. A valid configuration for that circuit did exist as of the cutoff date for the audit universe. We also agree with the Army's conclusion that multiplexing six circuits between Fort Sam Houston, Texas, and Austin, Texas, was not cost-effective. However, for two (see Appendix C., Category 2., Table 2.) of those circuits, a new routing could be established through connection to the DSN.

For the two DDN access circuits identified for rehoming, the Army did not fully consider purchasing rather than continuing to lease the associated equipment. Purchasing the equipment would eliminate any recurring leased costs; therefore, we contend that the monetary benefits for those two circuits are correct. Further, this final report identifies a third DDN access circuit for rehoming (see Appendix C., Category 3.). We ask that the DISA reevaluate that position in response to the final report.

Air Force Comments. The Air Force concurred with the finding and recommendations, but nonconcurred with the monetary benefits. The Air Force stated that the Air Force Command, Control, Communications, and Computer Agency (AFC4A) Pilot program and the Air Force Integrated Telecommunications Network (AFNET) bundle leased circuits for Air Force Air Force components. The AFC4A Pilot program reached initial operating capability on October 15, 1990, and a contract award was pending in response to the requests for proposal for the AFNET. Additionally, the Air Force plans to use those two networks to bundle 109 of the 124 sample circuits recommended for bundling in the draft report (see Appendix I). The remaining 15 sample circuits (see Appendix I) cannot be cost-effectively bundled because AFC4A and AFNET nodes were not planned at either one or both termination points for those circuits and the cost of bundling was too high to be cost-effective. Further, the Air Force has either debited the expected bundling savings in its budget submission for FYs 1992 through 1997 or credited the savings to Defense Management Review Decision 924. Additional bundling decrements are expected through Defense Management Review Decision 968 and Program Budget Decision 167.

The Air Force stated that its Concentrator Program requires Air Force DDN users to access the DDN through a single-base concentrator, which significantly reduces the Air Force's DDN and circuit costs. Over the last 2 years, the Air Force has procured and installed DDN concentrators at 128 bases and has directed rehoming of all host computers for those concentrators not later than December 31, 1990. The Air Force has already taken into account the resultant savings in determining its reduced FY 1991 DDN budget. The complete text of the Air Force's comments is in Part IV of this report.

Audit Response. The Air Force did not consider all available technical solutions for achieving cost-effective configurations; therefore, its comments are not responsive. The response discusses actions that are commendable, but the

programs identified were either available about 1 year after the audit cutoff date or were still in the planning stages. Further, the Air Force did not provide complete documentation to support its contention that resultant savings had already been credited. Since all technical solutions were not considered, we reevaluated the Air Force sample circuits. The results of the reevaluation are in Appendix I.

We commend the Air Force for establishing a Concentrator Program; however, we disagree that the Concentrator Program has taken into account cost avoidances we identified through rehoming DDN circuits to the nearest node in the San Antonio area. Although concentrators had been installed at all four bases in the San Antonio area for about 1 year before our audit, circuits still had not been rehomed to the concentrators. Additional cost avoidances are still available through rehoming (see Appendix C., Category 3.). We ask that the DISA reevaluate that position in response to the final report.

2. We recommend that the Deputy Chief of Staff, Command, Control, Communications and Computers, Department of the Air Force require the appropriate user organizations to initiate Requests for Service to disconnect their respective circuits listed in Appendixes D and E.

Air Force Comments. The Air Force partially concurred with the recommendation and stated the monetary benefits should be reduced by about \$26,500 from the amount shown in the draft report. The Air Force stated that it requires a biennial review and revalidation of all its leased circuits and that four circuits identified in the audit for termination would most likely have been identified for termination during that exercise.

Audit Response. The Air Force's response on the 13 circuits recommended for disconnection was only partially responsive. In response to the Air Force comments, we reevaluated the sample circuits. The results of the reevaluation are in Appendix I.

The Air Force's biennial review and revalidation of leased circuits does not provide assurance that the circuits identified in the draft report would have been identified for termination or that billings and payments would stop. This audit and prior audits (see Appendix B) have shown that review and revalidation programs do not identify all circuits requiring revalidation and opportunities for reconfiguration or prevent payments for circuits designated for termination. Accordingly, we maintain that the cost avoidances identified in this report are valid. We ask that the Air Force reconsider its position in response to the final report.

This page was left out of orignial document

#### **Part III - Additional Information**

#### Appendix A. Glossary

Access Line A circuit connecting a subscriber directly to

a switching center or to a node in a

switched network.

Allocation The process of selecting and designating

specific channels and trunks that will be used in routing a circuit or circuits to

satisfy a customer requirement.

AUTOVON Automatic Voice Network. A general-

purpose switched voice network that provides unsecured voice communications

services to DoD customers.

Bundle A term often used to mean multiplexing or

to consolidate circuits onto a larger trunk.

CCSD Command Communications Service

Designator. A unique identifier for each single service; that is single-channel circuits, multichannel trunk circuits, and

interswitch trunk circuits.

Channel A single unidirectional or bidirectional path

for transmitting or receiving (or both) electronic signals, usually in a path that is

distinct from other parallel paths.

Circuit A communication capability between

two or more users, between a user terminal and a switching terminal, or between

two switches.

Concentrator A telecommunications device that allows a number of circuits (typically slow-speed

ones) to be connected to a smaller number of circuits for transmission under the assumption that not all of the larger group

of circuits will be used at the same time.

DDN Defense Data Network. A general-purpose

packet switching network that provides direct data transmission communications

services to DoD customers.

DSN

Defense Switched Network. A generalpurpose network designed to provide switched voice, digital data, and video teleconferencing services to DoD customers.

FTS 2000

Federal Telephone System 2000. A general-purpose voice, data, and video network procured and managed by the General Services Administration.

General-Purpose Network

A system of circuits or trunks between network switching centers or nodes allocated to provide communications service on a common basis to all connected subscribers. It is sometimes described as a common-user network.

Modem

Modulator/Demodulator. A device that converts digital signals to analog so that they may be transmitted via conventional analog circuits or that converts analog signals to digital so that they may be received by digital terminal equipment or a computer.

Node

A tandem switch that collects data traffic from multiple transmission media and routes the data to other switches or nodes.

**Packet Switching** 

A technique by which digital data are transmitted in packets (composed of a predetermined number of bits) and switched over a logical path, rather than a physical path as in circuit switching.

Rehome

The disconnection of a transmission medium from one switch or node and the reconnection to another switch or node.

Tail Circuit

A circuit that operates from the long-haul vendor's demarcation point.

TCO

Trunk

Switching Center

**WWOLS** 

Telecommunications Certification Office. An organization designated by a Federal department or agency to certify to the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) that a specified telecommunications service or facility is a bona fide requirement, and that the department or agency is prepared to pay mutually acceptable costs to fulfill the requirement.

A dedicated circuit connecting two switching centers, central offices, or data concentration devices. This term is often used within the communications community to describe any multichannel circuit.

A point at which two circuits could be interconnected to make a path between two users.

The DISA Worldwide On-Line System. Management Telecommunications Services Office maintains this data base inventory of Defense Communications System (DCS) circuits and trunks to reflect Telecommunications Service Requests and Telecommunications Service Orders. The WWOLS contains specific engineering, operational, and management data to support the circuit and trunk allocation and transmission engineering functions performed for DCS telecommunications services.

#### Appendix B. Prior Audits and Other Reviews

Office of the Inspector General, DoD, Project No. 0RD-0043.03, "Draft Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs -Jacksonville Area," December 15, 1993. The audit showed that reconfiguration opportunities were not effectively identified and requirements were not adequately revalidated. The report showed that 63.9 percent of the 166 sample Command Communications Service Designators (CCSDs) reviewed at DoD and non-DoD installations and organizations in the Jacksonville, Florida, metropolitan area were potentially not cost-effective in their configurations or were no longer required. For the sampled CCSDs, the report identified 74 circuits (44.6 percent) as candidates for potential reconfiguration. Leases for another 32 circuits and associated equipment items (19.3 percent) could be terminated because they are no longer required. Reconfiguration or termination of those 106 circuits could reduce costs about \$9.5 million during the execution of the FY 1994 through FY 1999 Future Years Defense Program. Finally, reconfiguration and termination of another 24 circuits that were not part of the audit universe or sample could reduce costs about \$1.5 million during the same period.

Office of the Inspector General, DoD, Report No. 93-144, "Management of Leased Modulators/Demodulators by the Air Mobility Command," June 30, 1993. The audit showed that the Air Mobility Command did not prepare documentation required to discontinue payments for modulators/demodulators (modems) no longer in service, purchase rather than lease modems, and disconnect circuits that were no longer required. As a result, about \$826,000 was spent for equipment no longer in service; about \$1.3 million was spent for leased equipment that should have been purchased; and about \$70,000 was spent for leased circuits that were no longer required. The audit also showed that at seven military installations, 53.6 percent of telecommunications equipment could not be accounted for and that the Air Mobility Command could not validate its telecommunications equipment inventories. Action to terminate lease payments, to purchase leased modems, and to disconnect circuits would reduce costs about \$5.3 million (of which \$784,000 was previously reported for Dover Air Force Base [AFB]) during the FY 1993 though FY 1998 Future Years Defense Program. We recommended that the Commander, Air Mobility Command, terminate payments for equipment no longer in service, purchase leased modems, disconnect circuits no longer needed, and conduct and maintain inventories of all leased and owned telecommunications equipment and services. The Air Force concurred with the finding and implemented corrective measures.

Office of the Inspector General, DoD, Report No. 93-021, "Management of Leased Modulators/Demodulators at Dover Air Force Base, Delaware," November 9, 1992. The audit showed that payments continued to be made for telecommunications equipment that was no longer in service and that equipment that should have been purchased continued to be leased. As a result, more than \$287,000 had been spent unnecessarily from February 1990 through June 1992. Action to terminate leases and purchase modems would reduce costs about \$784,000 during the FY 1993 through FY 1998 Future Years Defense Program. We recommended that the Commander, Air Mobility Command, terminate

leases for six long-haul modems and purchase replacement modems from the Bulk Modem Contract maintained by the Defense Commercial Communications Office (DECCO). The Air Force concurred with the finding and implemented corrective measures.

Office of the Inspector General, DoD, Report No. 93-019, "Disposition of Telecommunications Services and Equipment at Eaker Air Force Base," November 6, 1992. This audit identified telecommunications services that were not discontinued when service requirements no longer existed. The report showed that 5 (10.6 percent) of 47 long-haul telecommunications circuits reviewed at Eaker AFB, Blytheville, Arkansas, were no longer required. As a result, DoD could have avoided communications costs estimated at \$19,000 if action had been taken to discontinue the services. When this matter was brought to management's attention, it took immediate action to discontinue the services and avoided additional costs of about \$9,000 through December 1992, the planned closure date of the base. The Air Force concurred with the finding and monetary benefits and provided corrective measures to prevent similar conditions.

Office of the Inspector General, DoD, Report No. 93-018, "Disposition of Telecommunications Services and Equipment at Pease Air National Guard Base," November 6, 1992. The audit disclosed that existent services were not discontinued when communication requirements no longer existed. The report showed that 7 (46.7 percent) of 15 long-haul telecommunications circuits reviewed at Pease Air National Guard Base, Portsmouth, New Hampshire, were no longer required. As a result, DoD could have avoided communications costs estimated at \$151,000 if action had been taken to discontinue the services. When this matter was brought to management's attention, it took immediate action to discontinue the services and avoided additional costs of about \$272,000 during the execution of the FY 1993 through FY 1998 Future Years Defense Program. The Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) concurred with the finding and monetary benefits projected in the report.

Office of the Inspector General, DoD, Project No. 0RD-0043.02, "Draft Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - Kansas City Area," July 5,1991. The audit showed that reconfiguration opportunities were not effectively identified and that requirements were not adequately revalidated. The report showed that 60.6 percent of the 203 sample CCSDs reviewed at DoD and non-DoD installations and organizations in the Kansas City, Missouri, metropolitan area were either potentially not cost-effective in their configurations or were no longer required. For the sampled CCSDs, the report identified 94 circuits (46.3 percent) as candidates for potential reconfiguration. Reconfiguration actions for 48 of 94 circuits and equipment items could reduce costs about \$161,000 annually. Leases for another 29 circuits and associated equipment items (14.3 percent) could be terminated Finally, the configurations of an because they are no longer required. additional 21 circuits that were not part of the random sample were found to be not cost-effective. Reconfiguration or termination of those 21 circuits could reduce costs about \$198,000 annually or more than \$1.3 million during the execution of the FY 1992 through FY 1997 Future Years Defense Program.

Office of the Inspector General, DoD, Report No. 91-110, "Quick-Reaction Report on the Reconfiguration of Automatic Voice Network Access Circuits - Kansas City Area," July 3, 1991. The audit showed that the DISA neither identified reconfiguration opportunities nor coordinated implementation of reconfiguration solutions when two or more DoD Components were involved. The report showed that less costly reconfiguration opportunities existed, but were not effectively identified or implemented for our universe of 109 CCSDs issued for Automatic Voice Network (AUTOVON) access circuits at 7 DoD organizations in the Kansas City, Missouri, metropolitan area. The report states that 41 (37.6 percent) of the 109 CCSDs reviewed were potentially not costeffective in their configurations and showed that the 41 circuits were candidates for multiplexing. The reconfigured multiplexed circuits could result in DoD realizing cost avoidances of \$658,000 during execution of the FY 1992 through FY 1997 Future Years Defense Program. The report recommended that the DISA initiate immediate action to reconfigure the 41 AUTOVON circuits. DISA agreed that while the recommendation was technically feasible, it was not compliant with the contract or the Defense Commercial Telecommunications Network (DCTN)/AUTOVON merger solution previously proposed by AT&T and agreed to by the Government.

As part of a resolution agreement, the DISA proposed that an audit be performed addressing the AT&T pricing of the DCTN/AUTOVON access lines to assist DISA and DECCO in conducting their annual rate review negotiations with AT&T. The annual rate review is required by the DCTN contract. Although the Assistant Inspector General for Auditing disagreed with DISA's position that it was inappropriate to implement the audit recommendation, both agreed that the audit would be performed to determine that the AT&T prices and approach under the DCTN/AUTOVON merger were adequately supported, cost-effective, and fair. It was also agreed that DISA's support for the audit would be the required action in lieu of implementing the recommendation in Report No. 91-110.

Office of the Inspector General, DoD, Report No. 90-005, "Requirements Validation for Telecommunications Services," October 16, 1989. The audit showed that 21 percent of the 1,323 sample circuits reviewed at 21 DoD installations continued in service although no longer required, were not costeffective as configured, or could not be identified. For the sampled circuits, the report identified 135 circuits (10.2 percent) that were no longer required, 130 circuits (9.8 percent) that were considered not cost-effective in their configurations, and 12 circuits (1.0 percent) that could not be identified. As a result, leased circuits that are no longer required or not cost-effective may cost DoD as much as \$21 million during FY 1989 and \$117 million during the execution of the FY 1989 through FY 1993 Five Year Defense Plan. Several recommendations were made to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence) and to the Comptroller of the Department of Defense, one of which was to establish a definitive policy requiring DoD Components to review and revalidate telecommunications circuits leased and owned by the Defense Communications System. identification of reconfiguration opportunities was not addressed in that audit report. Management concurred in all recommendations in the report.

# Appendix C. Schedule of Circuits Recommended for Reconfiguration

Category 1. Table 1. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Army and Defense Mapping Agency Circuits

						Leased Costs Monthly Ar	1/ Costs Arrual
71	:	الح		i	77	Recurring	Cost
CCSD	Description	<u>xp/s</u>	FTSMHSTN5/	ST LOUIS	AT 08 D 00014	\$753	10 DOO \$1
NUED 7E8XZ/	DATA CIRCUIT	9.6	FTSMHSTN	ST LOUIS	AMSC D 00893	936	11,232
UUED 7EST	CHANNEL on 6J2N	5.4	FTSMHSTN	ST LOUIS	•	0	0
uved 7esu	CHANNEL on 6J2N	2.4	FTSMHSTN	SI LOUIS	•	0	0
ent Recu	Current Recurring Costs						\$20,268
Recurri	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Cost of 19.2 Kb/s Leased Circuit	lexing Act	ion:			(\$730)	(\$ 8.760) <u>8</u> /
క	Cost of Local Leased Service (St. Louis area)	Service (	St. Louis are	a)		( 151 )	(1,812)9/
¥	Modem Maintenance Contracts	ntracts				(71)	( <u>168)</u> 10/
l Amnual	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Action	from Mult	iplexing Acti	ь Б			\$ 9,528
Nonrecu	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Installation of Circuits and Modems	tiplexing /	Action: odems				(\$ 1.084)§/ 9/ 10/
<b>Ξ</b>	Modems (2 x 19.2 Kb/s 6-port stand-alone at \$1,020 each = \$2,040) (2 x 9.6 Kb/s local leased service at \$525 each = $$1,050$ )	s 6-port s local lea	tand-alone at sed service a	\$1,020 each : t \$525 each =	= \$2,040) \$1,050)		( <u>3,090</u> )
l Saving	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Action	r Resultin	g from Multip	lexing Action			\$ 5,354

See footnotes on next page.

Category 1. Table 1. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Army and Defense Mapping Agency Circuits

# Footnotes

The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office (DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. 7

Command Communications Service Designator.

Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. ひりゅうりりり

Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

St. Louis, Missouri.

reconfigured as of our cutoff date. Therefore, no reconfiguration actions are required for this circuit; This circuit was disconnected after our cutoff date, October 6, 1989, but could have been

however, an opportunity to reduce expenditures was lost for the period before the circuit's disconnection. Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications **∞**i

vendors' cost estimates.

Cost data obtained at DECCO through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase Catalog. Cost estimate obtained through the local exchange carrier, 의 칠

Category 1. Table 2. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force and Defense Happing Agency Circuits

1/ Leased Costs	Annual	g Cost	To DoD	\$ 9,864	000'6	\$18,864		(\$ 2,484)	(8,760)	()	\$ 7,452	,	(\$ 860)2/ 10/	;	$(-3.458)^{10}$	\$ 3,134
Lease	Monthly	Recurring	Costs	\$822	750			(\$207)	(730)	( 14)						
		/4	CSA	AT 0 69289	AMSC D 00878			PH.)	^					,418)	$(2 \times 19.2 \text{ Kb/s } 6\text{-port } 2A \text{ modems at $1,020 each} = $2,040)$	ctions
				SCOTT 6/				N to RANDOL	PH to SCOTT		ctions			19 each = \$1	ıt \$1,020 ea	tiplexing A
			From	FTSMHSTN5/	RANDOLPH <sup>8</sup> /		ctions:	uit (FTSMHST	cuit (RANDOL		ltiplexing A	g Actions:	Modems	lones at \$70	2A modems a	ing from Mul
		3/	Kb/s	5.4	9.6		tiplexing Ac	eased Circ	Leased Cir	Contracts	ing from Mu	ful tiplexin	ircuits and	o/s stand-a	(b/s 6-port	Year Result
			Description	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	irring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Actions:	Cost of 2.4 Kb/s Leased Circuit (FTSMHSTN to RANDOLPH)	Cost of 19.2 Kb/s Leased Circuit (RANDOLPH to SCOTT)	Modem Maintenance Contracts	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Actions	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Actions:	Installation of Circuits and Modems	Modems (2 x 2.4 Kb/s stand-alones at \$709 each = $$1,418$ )	(2 × 19.2 l	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Actions
		2/	CCSD	NUED 7CDH	JRPD $7$ EOL $^{\overline{2}\prime}$	Current Recurring Costs	Recurri	8	ន	£	Total Annual	Nonrecu	Ę	æ		Total Saving

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force and Defense Mapping Agency Circuits Category 1. Table 2.

# Footnotes:

The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office (DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government.

Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission. Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. なるでのるで

Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

Scott Air Force Base, Illinois.

however, an opportunity to reduce expenditures was lost for the period before the circuit's disconnection. reconfigured as of our cutoff date. Therefore, no reconfiguration actions are required for this circuit; This circuit was disconnected after our cutoff date, October 6, 1989, but could have been

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas. જી એ

Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications

vendors' cost estimates.

Cost data obtained at DECCO through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase Catalog.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Defense Data Network (DDN) Access Circuits to the Nearest DDN Node Category 1. Table 3.

J.	Annual	Cost	To Dod	\$ 2,664	11,676	16,368	14,400	14,448	\$59,556	/6:00 00:3/	(88)	\$39,276	(\$ 1,684) <sup>9/</sup> (_ <u>3,842</u> ) <sup>10/</sup>	\$33,750
Leased Costs	Monthly	Recurring	Costs	\$ 222	973	1,364	1,200	1,204		(577 137	(41,000)			
		14	CSA	ABI 36 Q 08760	SW 36 D 0870	GTES D 31139 005	GTES D 31139 006	GTES D 31147 011			(app		curring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Installation of Circuit and Equipment Time Division Multiplexors With 6 Channels (2 x 1 circuit at \$1,921 each)	c
			To	RANDOLPH6/		KELLYZ	KELLY	FTSMHSTN <sup>8</sup> /		**************************************	TO MELLIT DUR TO	tion	s (2 x 1 circu	iplexing Actio
			From	LAUGHL IN5/		LAUGHLIN	LAUGHLIN	LAUGHLIN		ction:	icts	ultiplexing Ac	ng Action: Equipment with 6 Channel	ting from Mult
		<b>⋈</b>	Kb/s	8.4		19.2	9.6	9.6		plexing /	ised circu	ng from M	ultiplexir rcuit and iplexors i	ear Result
			Description	DATA CIRCUIT		DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	Current Recurring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Action:	cost of Jo kb/s reased circuit Equipment Maintenance Contracts	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Action	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Installation of Circuit and Equipment Time Division Multiplexors With 6 Cha	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Action
		/2	CCSD	JUE9 72VK		JUE9 752G	JUE9 75ZH	JUE9 78EA	Current Reco	Recurr	ŭ	Total Annua	Nonreci Is	Total Savin

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Defense Data Network (DDN) Access Circuits to the Nearest DDN Node Category 1. Table 3.

# Footnotes

The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office (DECCO) to communications vendors. H

Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission.

Laughlin Air Force Base, Texas.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.

Kelly Air Force Base, Texas. Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications でるてのでってる

Cost data obtained through the equipment catalogs of a representative vendor.

Category 1. Table 4. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Circuits at Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, Using Local Leased Service

1/ Leased Costs	Annuat	Cost	To DoD	\$ 5,100	216	4,272	8,856	8,856	1,092	5,220	816	1,716	\$36,144		$($7,428)^{1/2}$	( 432)8/ 9/	\$28,284	(\$ 1,018)	\$21,768
Lease	Monthly	Recurring	Costs	\$425	18	356	738	738	9	435	89	143			(\$619)	(92)			
		/4	CSA	SW 05 D 03961	PRDN OC Y 48268	SW 35 D 00055	AMSC D 01363 WU	AMSC D 01362 WU	ABI 05 Q 03992	SW 05 D 03992	ABI 05 Q 03957	SW 05 D 03957						0	ion
			To	RANDOLPH6/		RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH		RANDOLPH						ction	t \$1,921 each)	tiplexing Act
			From	BROOKS2/		BROOKS	BROOKS	BROOKS	BROOKS		BROOKS			tion:	4	ts	tiplexing A	Action: quipment circuits a'	ng from Mul
		3/	Kb/s	<b>7.</b> 8		5.4	9.6	9.6	1.2		1.2			iplexing Ac	ssed Circui	ice Contrac	ng from Mul	ultiplexing cuit and E iplexors (2 t \$138 each	ear Resulti
			Description	DATA CIRCUIT		DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT		DATA CIRCUIT		Irring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Action:	Cost of 56 Kb/s Leased Circuit	Equipment Maintenance Contracts	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Action	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Installation of Circuit and Equipment Time Division Multiplexors (2 circuits at \$1,921 each) Modems (12 cards at \$138 each)	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Action
		72	CCSD	JAKO 7BVY		JRPD 7GRP	JAKM 7JTB	JAKD 7JTT	JRPD 7PNX		JAKD 72DK		Current Recurring Costs	Recurri	ដ	ភ្ន	Total Annual	Nonrect Ir Ti	Total Saving

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Circuits at Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, Using Local Leased Service Category 1. Table 4.

## Footnotes:

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 7

Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission. Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. びじゅうかんりゅう

Brooks Air Force Base, Texas.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.

Cost estimate obtained through the local exchange carrier.

Cost data obtained through the equipment catalogs of a representative vendor.

Category 1. Table 5. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Circuits at Kelly Air Force Base, Texas, Using Local Leased Service

1/ Costs	Annual	Cost	To Dod	\$ 552	899'7	3,936	216	10,560	4,524	816	3,240	\$28,512		(\$ 5,592)	(261)	\$22,728	(\$ 1,306) <sup>Z</sup> / B/	(_3,420)8/	\$18,002
Leased Costs	Monthly	Recurring	Costs	97 \$	389	328	81	880	377	89	270			(\$466)	( 16)				
		/4	CSA	ABI 05 Q 03965	SM 05 D 03965	AMSC D 00737	PRDNOC Y 48264	AMSC D 00928 WU	AMSC D 01446 WU	ABI 05 Q 03996	966£0 Q 50 MS							2,040)	ion
			10	RANDOLPH6/		RANDOLPH		RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH						Action		61,020 each = \$	ultiplexing Act
			From	KELLY5/		KELLY		KELLY	KELLY	KELLY			ction:	cuit		ıltiplexing	ng Action: Modems	modem at :: :h = \$1,380;	ing from M
		3/	Kb/s	1.2		9.6		1.2	1.2	1.2			iplexing A	Leased Cir	Contracts	ng from Mu	ultiplexin rcuit and	b/s 6-port t \$138 eac	ear Result
			Description	DATA CIRCUIT		DATA CIRCUIT		DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT		Iring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Action:	Cost of 19.2 Kb/s Leased Circuit	Modem Maintenance Contracts	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Action	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Installation of Circuit and Modems	Modems (2 $\times$ 19.2 Kb/s 6-port modem at \$1,020 each = \$2,040) (10 cards at \$138 each = $\$1,380$ )	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Action
		72	CCSD	JRPD 7HBQ		JRPD TNCX		JAKD 7SKP	JAKD 7WQZ	JRPD 7XPP		Current Recurring Costs	Recurri	S	¥.	Total Annual	Nonrecu	<b>₩</b>	Total Saving

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Circuits at Kelly Air Force Base, Texas, Using Local Leased Service Category 1. Table 5.

## Footnotes:

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office A

Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission. でえるであるの

Kelly Air Force Base, Texas.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.

Cost estimate obtained through the local exchange carrier.

Category 1. Table 6. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Circuits at Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, Using Local Leased Service

1/ Leased Costs	Annual	) Cost	To DoD	\$ 7,560	14,844	1,908	3,348	968'7	1,440	3,240	\$37,236	ì	(\$ 7,428)	(805) (8)	\$29,400	18 12(0% s)	(3,842)8/ (1,380)9/	\$23,238
Leas	Monthly	Recurring	Costs	\$ 630	1,237	159	279	408	120	270			(\$ 619)	( 34)				
		/4	CSA	AMSC D 00707 WU	GTES D 10056	ABI 05 Q 03993	SW 05 D 03993	AMSC D 01698	ABI 05 Q 03969	696£0 0 £0 MS								tion
			To	RANDOLPH5/	RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH		RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH						ction		each)	tiplexing Act
				LACKLAND\$/	LACKLAND	LACKLAND		LACKLAND	LACKLAND			ction:	cuit		ltiplexing A	g Action: Modems	2 at \$1,921 h)	ing from Kul
		3/	Kb/s	4.8	19.2	1.2		1.2	5.4			plexing A	eased Cir	ontracts	ig from Mu	ultiplexin	plexors (	ar Result
		•	Description	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT		DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT		Current Recurring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Action:	Cost of 19.2 Kb/s Leased Circuit	Modem Maintenance Contracts	otal Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Action	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Installation of Circuit and Modems	Time Division Multiplexors (2 at \$1,921 each) Modems (10 cards at \$138 each)	otal Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Action
		/2	CCSD	JUED 70TY	JRPM 7EPA	JRPD 7GYH		JRPD 7KZG	JRPD GD75		Current Rec	Recurr	<b>ប័</b>	ž	fotal Annua	Nonrect	řž	rotal Savin

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Circuits at Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, Using Local Leased Services Category 1. Table 6.

### Footnotes:

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 7

Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission. Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service.

Lackland Air Force Base, Texas.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.

Cost estimate obtained through the local exchange carrier.

Cost data obtained through the equipment catalogs of a representative vendor. びりょうかひめか

Category 1. Table 7. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force and Defense Logistics Agency Circuits . to the Austin, Texas, Area

1/ Leased Costs	Monthly Annual	Recurring Cost	Costs To DoD	\$ 5,748	721 8,652	715 8,580	611 7,332	525 6,300		574 6,888	\$44,184	(*************************************		( 228) ( 2,736)13/	(3,684)13/	( 34) ( <u></u>	\$22,872		(\$ 4,047) <u>12</u> / <u>13</u> / <u>14</u> / <u>1</u>				( <u>6,508) <sup>14</sup>/ 15/</u>	\$12,317	
		/4	CSA	AT D 998317	GTES D 07530	AMSC D 01029 WU	AMSC D 01212	AT 30 D 00721	ABI 300 00721 SW	ABI D 67406					s not available)									Ø	
			To	AUST IN 6/	BROOKS2/	RANDOLPH10/	RANDOLPH	BERGSTRM		MABRY 11/		CHG LOUND ON	BERGSTRM)	to AUSTIN)	MABRY-1.2 Kb/		ons			at \$190 ) 33)	,842)	each = \$1,526	ach = \$ 812)	olexing Action	
			From	SANANTON5/	BERGSTRM8/	BERGSTRM	BERGSTRM	RANDOLPH		RANDOLPH		ions:	(RANDOLPH to	it (BERGSTRM	t (AUSTIN to	vs	iplexing Acti	Actions:	quipment	ted distance, tance, at \$13	921 each = \$3	lone at \$763	one at \$406 e	g from Multip	
		3/	Kb/s	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	1.2		1.2		ing Act Circui	circuit	d Circu	Circui	ontract	om Mult	lexing	s and E	e, limi ted dis	at \$1,	stand-a	tand-al	esultin	
			Description	DATA CIRCUIT	DONZ ACCESS CIRCUIT	DDN ACCESS CIRCUIT	DDN ACCESS CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT		DATA CIRCUIT	curring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Actions:		Cost of 19.2 Kb/s Leased Circuit (BERGSTRM to AUSTIN)	Cost of 2.4 Kb/s Leased Circuit (AUSTIN to MABRY-1.2 Kb/s not available)	Equipment Maintenance Contracts	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Actions	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Actions:	Installation of Circuits and Equipment	Equipment (1 stand-alone, limited distance, at \$190 ) (1 card, limited distance, at \$138)	$(2\ 2160\ \text{muxes at $1,921 each} = \$3,842)$	(2 19.2 Kb/s stand-alone at \$763 each = \$1,526)	(2 2.4 Kb/s stand-alone at \$406 each = \$	ugs in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Actions	
		2/	CCSD	NSUD 7A2G	JRP9 722F	JUE9 7556	JUE9 774U	JRPD 7JJN		JAKD 7CC5	Current Recurring	Recur	, ,	J	J		Total Annu	Nonrec	-	_				Total Savings in	See footnotes on

훳

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force and Defense Logistics Agency Circuits to the Austin, Texas, Area Category 1. Table 7.

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office

Command Communications Service Designator.

Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service.

San Antonio, Texas.

Austin, Texas.

Defense Data Network.

Bergstrom Air Force Base, Texas.

Brooks Air Force Base, Texas.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.

Camp Mabry, Texas.

Cost estimate obtained through the local exchange carrier. 20岁少少少少少少少少少少少少少少少少

Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications vendors' cost estimates.

Cost data obtained at DECCO through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase Catalog.

Cost data obtained through the equipment catalogs of a representative vendor.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force Circuits to the Pensacola and Panama City, Florida, Areas Category 1. Table 8.

1/ Leased Costs	Monthly Annual	Recurring Cost	Costs To DoD	\$1,883 \$22,596	1,066 12,792	463 5,556	776 778	/8/ 221 269/ (61 038/	5	( 30) ( 360) 9/ 10/	\$11,892	701 70 78	(\$ 2,592) <sup>97</sup> 20 ( 3,842) <sup>10</sup>	()	\$ 4,646
		/5	To CSA	EGLIN <sup>©</sup> / GTES D 39913	CODX OC Y 48180	TYNDALL $^{\mathbb{Z}}/$ ANSC D 01162 001		-	cost of 20 Ab/s Leased critcult (rambOLrn to Eulin) Cost of 2.4 Kb/s Leased Circuit (EGLIN to TYNDALL-1.2 Kb/s not available)						ing Action
		3/	From	19.2 RANDOLPH <sup>5</sup> / F		1.2 RANDOLPH		lexing Actions:	Cost of 20 Kb/s Leased Circuit (KANDOLFN to EULIN) Cost of 2.4 Kb/s Leased Circuit (EGLIN to TYNDALL-	e Contracts	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Actions	tiplexing Actions:	Installation of Circuits and Equipment Time Division Multiplexors (2 at \$1,921 each)	(2 2.4 kb/s stand-alones at \$406 each)	the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Action
			Description	DATA TRUNK		DATA CIRCUIT	Current Recurring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Actions:	Cost of 2.4 Kb/s Lease	Equipment Maintenance Contracts	ual Savings Resulting	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Actions:	Installation of Circuits and Equipment Time Division Multiplexors (2 at \$1,92	Modems (2 2.4 kb/s st	_
		77	CCSD	JAKM 7GVS		JRPD 7PVY	Current Re	Recui			Total Ann	Nonre			Total Savings in

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force Circuits to the Pensacola and Panama City, Florida, Areas Category 1. Table 8.

# Footnotes:

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 4

Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission. びりょうかりぎ

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.

Eglin Air Force Base, Florida.

Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida.

Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications

vendors cost estimates.

Cost data obtained through the equipment catalogs of representative vendor. Cost data obtained at DECCO through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase Catalog.

Category 1. Table 9. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force Circuits to the Salt Lake City, Utah, Area

$rac{1}{ ext{Leased Costs}}$	Monthly Annual Recurring Cost Costs To DoD \$780 \$ 9,360 909 10,908	\$50,268	$\frac{7}{8}(27)$ (\$11,580) $\frac{7}{8}$	\$ 8,616	(\$ 817) <sup>Z</sup> / <u>8</u> / ( <u>2,040</u> ) <u>8</u> /	\$ 5,759
-	CSA ABI @ 50165 AT D 35497		ch			ing Action
	אורר אוררק <i>ק</i> אורר		at \$3 eac	g Action		4ul tiplexi
	From KELLY5/		ction: cuit (2 modems	ltiplexin	g Action: Modems ach)	ing from
	3/ <u>kb/s</u> 9.6 9.6		plexing A eased Cir	ng from Mu	ultiplexin cuit and I : \$1,020 e	ar Result
	Description DATA TRUNK DATA CIRCUIT	Current Recurring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Cost of 19.2 Kb/s Leased Circuit Modem Maintenance Contracts (2 modems at \$3 each)	fotal Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Action	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Action: Installation of Circuit and Modems Modems (2 modems at \$1,020 each)	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Action
	2/ CCSD JTNX 6G44 JZQD 7F8E	Jurrent Recu	Recurry CG	rotal Annual	Nonrect Ir	lotal Saving

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force Circuits to the Salt Lake City, Utah, Area Category 1. Table 9.

# Footnotes:

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office  $\Rightarrow$ 

Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission.

Kelly Air Force Base, Texas.

Hill Air Force Base, Utah.

Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications であるであるこ

vendors' cost estimates.

Category 1. Table 10. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force Circuits to the Colorado Springs, Colorado, Area

1/ Leased Costs	Monthly Annual	Recurring Cost	Costs To DoD	\$890 \$10,680	812 9,744	\$20,424		(\$345) (\$ 4,140) $8$ /	$(766)$ $(9,192)^{8/}$	/3(96 ) (8 )	(6) (2)	<del>\$ 6,924</del>		(\$ 1,796) 8/ 2/		( <u>3,458)</u> 9/	\$ 1,670
		/7	CSA	AMSC D 00993	AT D 31616											(2 19.2 kb/s stand-alones, 6-port modems at \$1,020 each = \$2,040)	
			To	LACKLAND6/	CHYNNMTN			RANDOLPH)	O CHYNNMTN)	each)	each)	SI			1 = \$1,418)	iems at \$1,020	exing Actions
			From	CHYNNMTN5/	RANDOLPHZ/		ons:	: (LACKLAND to	t (RANDOLPH t	modems at \$4	(2 modems at \$3 each)	plexing Actio	ctions:	odems	s at \$709 each	ss, 6-port mod	from Multipl
		3/	Kb/s	8.4	9.6		iplexing Acti	eased Circuit	eased Circui	Contracts (2	(2	ng from Multi	ultiplexing A	rcuits and Mo	stand-alones	s stand-alone	ear Resulting
			Description	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	irring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Actions:	Cost of 4.8 Kb/s Leased Circuit (LACKLAND to RANDOLPH)	Cost of 19.2 Kb/s Leased Circuit (RANDOLPH to CHYNNMIN)	Modem Maintenance Contracts (2 modems at \$4 each)		Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Actions	Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Actions:	Installation of Circuits and Modems	Modems (2 4.8 kb/s stand-alones at \$709 each = \$1,418)	(2 19.2 kb/s	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Actions
		57	dSCC	JYED ZAFW	JT1X 6H8L	Current Recurring Costs	Recurri	ន	ວິ	æ		Total Annual	Nonrec	Ir	Ä		Total Saving

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Air Force Circuits to the Colorado Springs, Colorado, Area Category 1. Table 10.

## Footnotes:

The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office (DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. 7

Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission. でえるででき

Cheyenne Mountain Complex, Colorado.

Lackland Air Force Base, Texas.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.

Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications

vendors' cost estimates.

Category 1. Table 11. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Circuits at Air Force Military Entrance Processing Station

1/ Leased Costs	Monthly Annual	Recurring Cost	Costs To DoD	\$702 \$ 8,424	552 <u>6,624</u>	\$15,048		(\$739) (\$ 8,868) <sup>8</sup> /	/5 <sup>(96</sup> ) (8)	\$ 6,084		(\$ 1,730) 1/2	(_1,686)2/	\$ 2,668
İ	×	4/ Re	CSA	AT D 38971 002	AT D 38971 003			•						
			10	ST LOUIS <sup>Z</sup> /	ST LOUIS			to ST LOUIS	each)	e				exing Action
			•	RANDOLPHE/	RANDOLPH		:uo	KANSAS CITY	modems at \$4 (	plexing Action	ction:	ems	each)	from Multipl
		3/	Kb/s	2.4	2.4		plexing Acti	ased Circuit	ontracts (2	g from Multi	ltiplexing A	cuit and Mod	dems at \$843	ar Resulting
			Description	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	ring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Action:	Cost of 4.8 Kb/s Leased Circuit KANSAS CITY to ST LOUIS	Modem Maintenance Contracts (2 modems at \$4 each)	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Action	Nonrecuring Costs of Multiplexing Action:	Installation of Circuit and Modems	Modems (2 4-port modems at \$843 each)	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Multiplexing Action
		72	CCSD	JRPD 7EX45/	JRPD 7FM35/	Current Recurring Costs	Recurring	.soj	Mod	Total Annual	Nonrecuri	Ins	Mod	Total Savings

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Circuits at Air Force Military Entrance Processing Category 1. Table 11.

### Footnotes:

- (DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 7
  - Command Communications Service Designator.
  - Communications Service Authorization identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission. でるでできる
    - This circuit travels on a trunk from Randolph Air Force Base, Texas to Kansas City, Missouri.
      - Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.
        - St. Louis, Missouri.
- Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications
  - Cost data obtained at DECCO through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase Catalog. vendors' cost estimates. 9

Category 1. Table 12. Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Multiple Air Force Activities' Circuits

1/ Leased Costs	Monthly Annual	Recurring Cost	Costs To DoD	\$ 704 \$ 8,448	675 8, 100	956 11,472	802 6 608	1,221 14,652	2,819 33,828	0 0	0 0	\$86,208		(\$ 466) (\$ 5,592) <sup>11</sup> /	,	( 844) ( 10,128) <u>12</u> /		( 625) ( 7,500) <sup>12</sup> /	( 698) ( 8,376) <u>12</u> /	( 9,084)12/	( 46) ( <u>552</u> ) <sup>14</sup> / 15/	\$43,044	121 171 121	(\$ 6,934)	(C) (A) (Z) (T)(C)(B)	\$27,163	
		/7	CSA	AT 0 38953	AT D 10384	AT D 38953 003	AT D 38953 001	ABI D 87846	GTES D 39904	•	•			VK, JZGD 7YDD)	JZGD 70VK,	JT1X 6N8V)		~		•							
			To	KIRTLAND 6/	KIRTLAND	AMARILLOB/	FT DOUGLAS	KIRTLAND	KIRTLAND	ALBUQUER 10/	KIRTLAND			арогън сихар 70	ND CJTNX 6J1S,	JZQD TYDD, JT1X 6N8V)	ER (JTNX 6J1S)	0 <u>13</u> / (JRPD 7FB4	0 (JRPD 7FB4)	GLAS (JRPD 7ECX		SU				exing Actions	
			From	RANDOLPH5/	KELLY <sup>Z</sup> /	RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH	KELLY	RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH		ions:	it KELLY to RAI	<b>JLPH to KIRTLA</b>		LAND to ALBUQUI	DUER to EL PAS	ASO to AMARILL	DUER to FT DOU	W	iplexing Actio	Actions:		quipment	rst Year Resulting from Multiplexing Actions	
		3/	Kb/s	9.6	9.6	5.4	5.4	9.6	19.2	5.4	1.2		Multiplexing Actions:	sed Circui	ouit RANDO		cuit KIRTI	ouit ALBUG	cuit EL P/	cuit ALBU	Contracts	from Mult	of Multiplexing Actions:		of Circuits and Equipment	Resultin	
			Description	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	CHANNEL on 6J1S	CHANNEL on 6J1S	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	CHANNEL on 6J1S	CHANNEL on 6N8V	Current Recurring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiple	Cost of 19.2 Kb/s Leased Circuit KELLY to RANDOLPH (JZQD 7DVK, JZQD 7YDD)	56 Kb/s Leased Circuit RANDOLPH to KIRTLAND (JINX 6J1S, JZQD 7DVK,		9.6 Kb/s Leased Circuit KIRTLAND to ALBUQUER (JINX 6J1S)	2.4 Kb/s Leased Circuit ALBUQUER to EL PASO $13$ / (JRPD 7FB4)	2.4 Kb/s Leased Circuit EL PASO to AMARILLO (JRPD 7FB4)	2.4 Kb/s Leased Circuit ALBUQUER to FT DOUGLAS (JRPD 7ECX)	Equipment Maintenance Contracts	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Multiplexing Actions	osts		Installation of Circu	Total Savings in the First Year	
		/2	CCSD	STLS XNTL	JZQD 70VK	JRPD 7FB4	JRPD 7ECX	JZGD 7YDD	JT1X 6N8V	JRPD 7FB3	JRPD 7JZB	Current Reci	Recurr	ű							Ψ.	Total Annua	Nonrec	Ŵ		Total Savin	

See footnotes on next page.

Establish a New Trunk Through Multiplexing Multiple Air Force Activities' Circuits Category 1. Table 12.

# Footnotes

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 7

Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission. Command Communications Service Designator. とぎょうりゅうかかび

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.

Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico.

Kelly Air Force Base, Texas.

Amarillo, Texas.

Albuquerque, New Mexico. Fort Douglas, Utah.

Cost estimate obtained through the local exchange carrier.

Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications vendors' cost estimates.

El Paso, Texas.

Cost data obtained through the equipment catalogs of a representative vendor. Cost data obtained at DECCO through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase Catalog. 당되는

Category 2. Table 1. Establish a New Routing Through the Defense Data Network (DDN)

Costs	Cost	To DoD	\$ 13,440	13,440	15,948	17,496	16,056	0	16,884	38,700	13,716	26,492	2,972	3,936	15,600	\$178,680		(\$ 21,564) <sup>17</sup> /	15, 156) 19/			(1,716)20/21/	\$140,244			(\$ 12,336) <u>20</u> /		( 4,264)21/	1 2 400 17/ 19/ 20/ 2
Monthly Ann	Recurring	Costs	\$1,120	1,120	1,329	1,458	1,338	0	1,407	3,225	1,143	541	581	328	1,300			(\$1,797)	(1,263) (			( 143)							
	/7	CSA	GTES D 99216	GTES D 99217	ABI D 99222	ABI D 99223	AB1 D 99224	•	ABI D 01379	AMSC W 07500 167	GTES 35W 10081	GTES D 31154 002	GTES D 07552 002	AMSC D 00759	ANSC D 00639 WU										1,794)				
		10	COLOSPRGS <u>é</u> /	COLOSPRGS	FTCARSONZ/	PETERSON8/	USAFACDM2/	scort11/	HILL 12/	SCOTT	RANDOLPH14/	RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH	LNGLYAFB15/	SCOTT			(ANDOLPH)	ination point)				ion		ation points = \$	= \$10,542			
		From	SANANTON5/	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON	SANANTON		ë	T-116/ Leased Circuit (SANANTON to RANDOLPH)	9.6 Kb/s Leased Circuits 18/(at termination point)			~	Resulting from Reconfiguration Action	tion:	97 x 2 termina	\$1,757 each x 2 termination points = $$10,542$	•	•	ipment
	3/	Kb/s	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	1.2	9.6	56.0	9.6	56.0	26.0	5.4	9.6		exing Actio	ed Circuit	sed Circui	Contracts	er at \$130	at \$1 each	from Reconf	iptexing Ac	% each (\$8	ı x 2 termi	th = \$2,470	th = \$1,794	its and Equ
		Description	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	CHANNEL on 6G0E	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA TRUNK	DDN ACCESS	DDN ACCESS	DATA CIRCUIT	DATA TRUNK	ring Costs	Recurring Costs of Multiplexing Action:	Cost of a T-116/ Lease	Cost of 9 9.6 Kb/s Lea	Equipment Maintenance Contracts	Digital Multiplexer at \$130	Modems (13 lines at \$1 each)		Nonrecurring Costs of Multiplexing Action:	3-Card Nest Kit at \$299 each (\$897 x 2 termination points = \$1,794)	3 Cards at \$1,757 each	Modens (13 at \$190 each = $$2,470$ )	Modems (13 at \$138 each = \$1,794)	Installation of Circuits and Equipment
	77	CCSD	JAKD 7H6F	JAKD 7H6G	JAKD 7H6H	JAKD 7H6J	JAKD 7H6K	JAKD $72TN^{10}$	JAKD 7BT6	JAKM 7CJM10/	JAKM 7JHN <u>13</u> /	JAK9 74J1	JAK9 7813	JTDD 78YO	JT1X 6G0E <u>10</u> /	Current Recurring Costs	Recurring	Cost	Cost	Equi			Total Annual Savings	Nonrecuri	3-6	r m	Mode	Mode	Inst

21/

# Table 1. Establish a New Routing Through the Defense Data Network (DDN) Category 2.

## Footnotes

- (DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 7
  - Command Communications Service Designator.
- Kilobits per second the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission.
  - Communications Service Authorization identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. ジッシッシッシッツ
    - Computer Services Center, San Antonio, Texas.
      - Colorado Springs, Colorado.
        - Fort Carson, Colorado.
- Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado.
- U.S. Air Force Academy, Colorado.
- This circuit was disconnected after our cutoff date, October 6, 1989, but could have been
- nowever, an opportunity to reduce expenditures was lost for the period before the circuit's disconnection. reconfigured as of our cutoff date. Therefore, no reconfiguration actions are required for this circuit;
  - Scott Air Force Base, Illinois.
  - Hill Air Force Base, Utah. 司智即
- rate of 56 Kb/s. This circuit, however, was disconnected after our cutoff date, October 6, 1989, but could have been This circuit was utilized at a modulation rate of 19.2 Kb/s rather than at the leased modulation
- reconfigured as of our cutoff date. Therefore, no reconfiguration actions are required for this circuit; however, an opportunity to reduce expenditures was lost for the period before the circuit's disconnection.
  - Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.
- Langley Air Force Base, Virginia.
- A 1.544 million bits per second, high-speed circuit.
- Cost estimate obtained through the local exchange carrier. 되는 한 번 병
- These four access circuits (JAKD 7H6F, JAKD 7H6G, JAKD 7H6H, JAKD 7H6K) are necessary because there
- is no DDN node at the circuits' termination points. 의
- Cost estimate obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications vendors' cost estimates.
  - Cost data obtained through the equipment catalogs of a representative vendor. බ බ
    - Cost data obtained at DECCO through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase Catalog.

Category 2. Table 2. Establish a New Routing Through the Defense Switched Network (DSN)

1/ Costs	Annual	Cost	To DoD	\$ 8,868	9,120	\$17,988	/ <u>{213,032</u> ) <sup>7</sup> /	\$ 4,956	( <u>\$ 2,558</u> ) <sup>[J]</sup>	\$ 2,398
1/ Leased Costs	Monthly	Recurring	Costs	\$739	260					
		/7	CSA	AT P 995849	AT P 995850		r cìrcuit x 2)			ion
			10	BRYANS/	BRYAN		annually pe	i. io		Juration Act
			From	FTSMHSTN5/	FTSMHSTN		:tion: lates (\$6,516	iguration Act	Action:	from Reconfig
		3/	<u>취</u>	M	m		iguration Ac Price Estim	from Reconf	ofiguration Jits	r Resulting
			Description	VOICE CIRCUIT	VOICE CIRCUIT	Current Recurring Costs	Recurring Costs of Reconfiguration Action: Total Cost of Vendor Price Estimates (\$6,516 annually per circuit x 2)	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Reconfiguration Action	Nonrecurring Cost of Reconfiguration Action: Installation of Circuits	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Reconfiguration Action
		77	CCSD	UUBV 7KHK	UUBV 7KHN	Current Recu	Recurr	Total Annua	Nonrect	Total Savin

# Footnotes:

The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office (DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government.  $\Rightarrow$ 

Command Communications Service Designator.

Kilohertz - a unit of frequency equal to 1,000 cycles per second.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. てあるでできる

Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

Cost estimates obtained at DECCO through a comparison of representative telecommunications vendors' cost estimates.

Category 3. Rehome Defense Data Network Access Circuits

1/ Leased Costs	Monthly Amrual	Recurring Cost	Costs To DoD		\$233 \$ 2,796	253 3,036	170 2,040	253 3,036	170 2,040	254 3,048		627 7,524	528 6,336			406 4,872	416 4,992	272,7 808		376 4,512	253 3,036	393 4,716	523 6,276	\$88,416		( <u>\$ 228)</u>	
•	Proposed	Node	Location		KELLY		KELLY		KELLY		LACKLAND	LACKLAND	LACKLAND	KELLY	KELLY	BROOKS	KELLY	KELLY		FTSMHSTN		FTSMHSTN	LACKLAND				
		/9	Kps		5.4		5.4		5.4		9.6	9.6	9.6	19.2	9.6	9.6	9.6	26.0		9.6		4.8	9.6				
	Current	Node3/	Location		BROOKS <sup>9</sup> /		BROOKS		BROOKS		BROOKS	KELLY	KELLY	BROOKS	RANDOLPH18/	FTSMHSTN20/	FTSMHSTN	BROOKS		BROOKS		RANDOLPH	RANDOLPH				
		Host	Location		KELLY8/		KELLY		KELLY		LACKLAND 13/	LACKLAND	LACKLAND	KELLY	KELLY	BROOKS	KELLY	КЕГГУ		FTSMHSTN		FTSMHSTN	LACKLAND				
Current Configuration	Host2/	Administrator	Unit		MDV/TCGZ/	:	MM(DOM) 10/		FDPO/FMS11/		3700 PRG/DPMD <u>12</u> /	HOAFCOMS 14/	1921 cs <u>15</u> /	ALC/MMEC16/	USAF CLINIC1Z/	AFCOMS D80419/	AFRTS/AFBS21/	AFLC <u>22</u> /		HQ 5RB USARC23/		RCAS/DARMS25/	DLIELC <u>26</u> /	all Contracts	Artions.	acts	
Currel		2/	CSA		ABI 350 00028 SW	SW 35D 00028 ABI	ABI 350 00026 SW	SW 35D 00026 ABI	ABI 350 00027 SW	SW 35D 00027 ABI	ABI D 07530 005	ABI D 31139 002	GTES D 31139 003	GTES D 07530 008	GTES D 07551 002	GTES D 31147 003	GTES35D 10086	AB1 W 07530 013		ABI 350 00032 SW	SW 350 00032 ABI	GTES31D 15056	GTES D 31101 001	for Termination of all Contracts	Deciming Costs of Dehoming Artions.	Modem Maintenance Contracts	
		/4	CCSD	Air Force			JZ09 72EY A	•	JZ09 72EZ #	<b>V</b> )	JRP9 730L A	JUE9 7504 A	_	1209 753J	JUE9 7555 G	JUE9 76YT	JUE9 77FR (	JUE9 7837 A	Army	UAS9 72JH		URE9 7222 <u>24</u> / (		Annual Savings for	Bedianing	Model	

Category 3. Rehome Defense Data Network Access Circuits

	000
Total Annual Savings Resulting from Rehoming Actions (cont'd) Nonrecurring Costs of Rehoming Actions:	\$88,188
8 per card) at \$371 per card) at \$190 per modem)	(\$ 1,794)
(1 56 Kb/s circuit at \$435 per modem)  Nodem Installation <sup>27</sup> /(2 modems at \$39 each x 13 circuits)	435)
(2 modems at \$56 each x 1 56 Kb/s circuit)	112)
Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Rehoming Actions $\overline{28}/$	\$81,992
Footnotes:  1 The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications office  2 DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government.  2 The computer or network that is linked into the Defense Data Network (DON) via the circuit.  3 The standard point of access for DON, where users are connected into the network.  4 Command Communications Service Designator.  5 Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service.  6 Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission.  7 Kelly Air Force Base Material Nanagement Division V/Technical Control Group.  8 Kelly Air Force Base Material Management (Directorate of Material).  9 Kelly Air Force Base Material Management (Directorate of Material).  10 Kelly Air Force Base, Texas.  11 Foreign Disclosure Policy Office/Foreign Military Sales.  12 Tyouth Program Resources Group/Data Processing Management Division.  13 Leackland Air Force Base, Texas.  14 Headquarters, Air Force Commissary Service.  15 Tyouth Program Resources Group/Date Processing Management, Engineering, Computers.  16 Air Logistics Command/Material Management, Engineering, Computers.  17 Air Force Clinic, Kelly Air Force Base.  18 Randolph Air Force Base, Texas.  18 Randolph Air Force Commissary Service, Detachment 804.	

# Category 3. Rehome Defense Data Network Access Circuits

# Footnotes (cont'd):

Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

Armed Forces Radio and Television Services/Air Force Broadcasting Service.

Air Force Logistics Command.

Headquarters, 5th Recruiting Brigade/U.S. Army Recruiting Command.

This circuit was disconnected after our cutoff date, October 6, 1989, but could have been <u> রা রা রা রা</u>

however, an opportunity to reduce expenditures was lost for the period before the circuit's disconnection. reconfigured as of our cutoff date. Therefore, no reconfiguration actions are required for this circuit;

Reserve Component Automation System/Developmental Army Readiness and Mobilization System.

Cost data obtained at DECCO through the Codex Bulk Modem Purchase Catalog. Defense Language Institute English Language College.

Actual equipment requirements; DDN charges, if required, for connection to a node; and contract 沟沟沟流

termination fees must be determined for final verification of total cost savings figure.

Category 4. Establish a Dial-up Connection and Disconnect the Associated Dedicated Circuit

						Leased Costs	1/ Costs
						Monthly	Annual
72		3/			/4	Recurring	Cost
GSD	Description	KP/s	From	ပ္	CSA	Costs	To DoD
UWJD 24JS	WWCCS5/ DATA CIRCUIT	5.4	FTSMHSTN6/	FTHUACHZ/	AT D 11347	\$ 835	\$10,020
UWJD 24JU	WAMCCS DATA CIRCUIT	5.4	FTSMHSTN	FT BLISS8/	AT D 11348	635	7,620
					CODX OC Y 45106 M01	<b>88</b>	336
UWJD 26F3	WAMCCS DATA CIRCUIT	5.4	FTSMHSTN	FTLNRDU2/	AT D 13279	910	10,920
URED 7WXA	DATA CIRCUIT	5.4	FTSMHSTN	sr coursily	ABI D 22664	1,974	23,688
URED 77FP	DATA CIRCUIT	5.4	FTSMHSTN	FT BLISS	AB1 D 22667	2,855	34,260
Current Rec	Current Recurring Costs						\$86,844
Recur	Recurring Costs of Reconfiguration Actions:	tion Actic	:su				
ú	Cost of Local Telephone Access	ccess				(\$ 148)	(\$ 1,776)11/
ن	Long-distance Toll Charges (1,404 minutes x \$0.25)	s (1,404 m	ninutes x \$0.2	(2)		( 351)	( 4.212) 12/
×	Maintenance Contracts (4 dial modems x \$4)	dial moder	(75 × S			(91	102) 13/
•			<b>1 1 2</b>			2	
	9	STU IIIs x	(3 STU IIIs x \$100 per year)	(J		(S	(300)
Total Annua	Total Annual Savings Resulting from Reconfiguration Actions:	Reconfigu	ıration Actior	:s:			792,082
Nonrec	Nonrecurring Costs of Reconfiguration Actions	uration Ac	tions				
-	Installation of Local Telephone Access	ephone Acc	sess				(\$ 620)11/
Ī	Installation of Modems						(201)
I	Maintenance of Modems						(316)13/
۵	Purchase Cost of Modems						( 2,100)13/
Δ.	Purchase of STU IIIs						(009'6)
Total Savin	Total Savings in First Year Resulting from Reconfiguration Actions	ng from Re	econfiguration	Actions			\$67,536

See footnotes on next bage

Category 4. Establish a Dial-up Connection and Disconnect the Associated Dedicated Circuit

### Footnotes:

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 7

Command Communications Service Designator.

Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. 以 り の の の の の の の り り り り り

Worldwide Military Command and Control System.

Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

Fort Huachuca, Arizona.

Fort Bliss, Texas.

Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri.

St. Louis, Missouri.

Toll charge obtained from long-distance telephone carrier customer service department. The minutes shown represent the monthly use of the four circuits listed, as determined by the circuit users. Cost data obtained from local telephone carrier customer service department.

Category 5. Purchase Leased Modems

1/ Leased Costs	ly Annual	ing Cost	· I	\$3,456		<b>\$5,448</b>	·	*	J	\$5,352		(\$1,418)	(812)	()	\$2,898
Ļ	Monthly	Recurring	Costs	\$288	166			(\$ \$)	(2)						
		/7	CSA	AB1 D 99199	AMSC D 16249 WU										
			To	WHEELER6/	RANDOLPH8/			j.	£			each)	each)		chase Action
			From	SANANTON5/	ALTUSZ/		ion:	odems at \$3 eac	(2 modems at \$1 each)	se Action	Action:	odems at \$709 e	for 9.6 Kb/s Circuit (2 modems at \$406 each)	x \$56)	from Modem Purc
		3/	Kb/s	9.6	5.4		Purchase Act	ntracts (2 m	(2 R	from Purcha	em Purchase /	Circuit (2 m	Circuit (2 m	зшарош у) ѕш	r Resulting
			Description	DATA TRUNK	DATA CIRCUIT	rring Costs	Recurring Costs of Modem Purchase Action:	Modem Maintenance Contracts (2 modems at \$3 each)		Total Annual Savings Resulting from Purchase Action	Nonrecurring Costs of Modem Purchase Action:	Modems for 2.4 Kb/s Circuit (2 modems at \$709 each)	for 9.6 Kb/s	Installation of Modems (4 modems $\times$ \$56)	Total Savings in the First Year Resulting from Modem Purchase Action
		2	CCSD	JAKM A293	JOAD 7UFH	Current Recurring Costs	Recurri	¥		Total Annual	Nonrecu	₩.		E	Total Saving

See footnotes on next page.

# Category 5. Purchase Leased Modems

### Footnotes:

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased modems are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 7

Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service. Kilobits per second - the standard unit for measuring the rate of data transmission.

San Antonio, Texas.

Wheeler Air Force Base, Hawaii.

Altus Air Force Base, Oklahoma.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas. でるこのでってって

Summary of Circuits Recommended for Reconfiguration.

	CIRCUIT 1/	ANNUAL <sup>2</sup> / RECURRING COST	ANNUAL <sup>2</sup> / RECURRING COST OF <sup>3</sup> / ANNUAL <sup>4</sup> / RECURRING RECONFIGURATION RECURRING COST ACTION SAVINGS	ANNUAL4/ RECURTING SAVINGS
Multiplexing <sup>5</sup> /	87	\$427,656	\$191,556	\$236,100
Rehome Special-Purpose Circuits To a General-Purpose Network $\underline{6}/$	15	196,668	51,468	145,200
Rehome Special-Purpose Access Circuits Within a General-Purpose Network $\overline{I}^{f}$	14	88,416	228	88, 188
Establish Dial-Up Service <sup>8</sup> /	v	86,844	6,480	80,364
Purchase Leased Equipment $2^\prime$	~	5,448	96	5,352
Totals	湖	\$805,032	\$249,828	\$555,204

The number of circuits recommended for reconfiguration. 7 2

The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are net costs to the Government.

The recurring cost to complete the reconfiguration action.

The annual recurring savings resulting from the reconfiguration action.

See Category 1 (Tables 1-12).

See Category 2 (Tables 1-2). See Category 3

きょうりりゅう

# Appendix D. Schedule of Circuits and Payments Recommended for Termination

\$25,548			tion	Termination Ac	Total Annual Savings Resulting From Termination Action	tal Annual
	0	AMSC D 00894 WU	RANDOLPH	SCOTT	CHANNEL on 6H5L	JAKD 78S3
0	0	AMSC D 00894 WU	RANDOLPH	scorr <u>14</u> /	CHANNEL on 6H5L13/	ЈАКО 7ВОН
216	18	PRDNOCY 48237	SANANTON	LACKLAND	DATA EQUIPMENT	JAKD 784S
6,324	527	ABI W 31147 006		KELLY	DDN ACCESS CIRCUIT	1209 76Y4
4,704	392	GTES D 31154 002	BROOKS 11/	SANANTON 10/	DDN ACCESS CIRCUIT	JAK9 74.14
8,544	712	ABI D 31139 001		LACKLAND8/	DDNZ/ ACCESS CIRCUIT	IUE9 75a3
1,200	100	AMSC D 01169 WU		RANDOLPH	DATA CIRCUIT	JAKD 7GXZ
\$ 4,560	\$380	AMSC D 01075 WU		RANDOLPH4/	DATA CIRCUIT	JRPD 70S6
To Dod	Costs	CSA		From	Description	dsoo
Cost	Recurring	<b>13</b>				/3
Annual	Monthly					
Costs	Leased Costs					
À						

# Footnotes

(DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office

Command Communications Service Designator.

Communications Service Authorization - identifies specific contract with vendor for each service.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas. びぎょうかんかん

United States Air Force Academy, Colorado.

Gunter Air Force Base, Alabama.

Defense Data Network.

Lackland Air Force Base, Texas.

Kelly Air Force Base, Texas.

San Antonio, Texas. 9

Brooks Air Force Base, Texas.

The two channels on this trunk, J11X 6H5L, were not in service. Telecommunications Service Requests for Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

disconnection should be issued for these channels to delete them from the data base (see Recommendation 2.). Scott Air Force Base, Illinois. 年

# Appendix E. Schedule of Non-Sample Circuit Recommended for Termination

# Footnotes:

- (DECCO) to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are the net costs to the Government. The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office 7
  - Command Communications Service Designator.
- Communications Service Authorization identifies specific contract with vendor for each service.
  - This circuit was identified during our audit work in the San Antonio area. Because this circuit was not Program and are not included in the statistical projection of our audit results for the San Antonio part of our audit sample, savings for it are projected separately for the Future Years. Defense 9 W 9
- Camp Mabry, Texas.
- Bergstrom Air Force Base, Texas. او لام

Appendix F. Summary Schedule of Circuits Recommended for Reconfiguration and Termination

	CIRCUIT COUNT 1/	ANNUAL RECURRING COST 2/	ANNUAL RECURING COST OF RECURING RECONFIGURATION 3	DF ANNUAL RECURING 3/ SAVINGS 4/
Sample Circuits Recommended for Reconfiguration5/	**	\$805,032	\$249,828	\$555,204
Sample Circuits Recommended for Termination5/	ဆု	25,548	0	25,548
Total	2# 2#	\$830,580	\$249,828	\$580,752
Non-Sample Circuit Recommended for Termination $\overline{Z}^{\prime}$	Ч	2,256	0	2,256
Total	-11	\$ 2,256	0	\$ 2,256

# Footnotes:

The number of circuits recommended for reconfiguration or termination. 7 2

The costs of leased telecommunications services are paid by the Defense Commercial Communications Office to communications vendors. The costs shown on this schedule are net costs to the Government.

The recurring cost to complete the reconfiguration or termination action.

The annual recurring savings resulting from the reconfiguration or termination action.

なめらある

# Appendix G. Schedule of Future Years Defense Program Impact of Reconfiguration and Termination Opportunities

6-YEAR FY 1996 TOTAL		\$2,578,782 <sup>1/</sup> \$2,676,776 \$2,773,140 \$2,864,653 \$2,953,458 \$3,045,015 \$16,891,824	\$3,045,015 \$16,891,824		(\$ 135,624)	(\$135,624)	\$3,045,015 <b>\$ 16,756,200</b> <sup>2/</sup>
FY 1995		\$2,953,458	\$2,953,458				\$2,953,458
FY 1994		\$2,864,653	\$2,864,653				\$2,864,653
FY 1993		\$2,773,140	\$2,773,140				\$2,773,140
FY 1992		, \$2,676,776	\$2,676,776				\$2,676,776
FY 1991		\$2,578,782	\$2,578,782		(\$ 135,624)	(\$ 135,624)	\$2,443,158
Element Title	Recurring Savings (Operation and Maintenance)	Long-Haul Communications		Nonrecurring Costs (Operation and Maintenance)	Long-Haul Communications		
Element No.	vings (Operatio	0303126	avings	Costs (Operat	0303126	g Cost	
Program	Recurring Sa	Intelligence and Communications	Total Recurring Savings	Nonrecurring	Intelligence and Communications	Total Nonrecurring Cost	Total Net Savings

# Footnotes:

1/ The amount shown is a projection of a statistical sample that is plus or minus 16.9 percent or plus or minus \$435,365 at a 90-percent confidence

This chart summarizes results identified in Appendixes C and D. Net savings in the first year are based on estimated costs to lease the inflation factors (3.80 percent for FY 1992, 3.60 percent for FY 1993, 3.30 percent for FY 1994, 3.10 percent for FY 1995, 3.10 percent for FY 1996) for the next 5 fiscal years and calculated the total net savings for the Future Years Defense Program to be approximately slightly after savings estimates. Using the FY 1991 recurring savings (\$2,578,782) for the base year, we applied the established DoD circuits and to buy and install the equipment needed for the reconfigurations proposed in this report. True costs, when known, may 75

Appendix H. Schedule of Future Years Defense Program Impact of a Termination Opportunity for a Non-Sample Circuit

TOTAL		\$ 14,778	\$ 14,778	\$ 14,778
FY 1996		\$ 2,664	\$ 2,664	\$ 2,664
FY 1995		\$ 2,584	\$ 2,584	\$ 2,584
FY 1994		\$ 2,506	\$ 2,506	\$ 2,506
FY 1993		\$ 2,426	\$ 2,426	\$ 2,426
FY 1992		\$ 2,342	\$ 2,342	\$ 2,342
FY 1991		\$ 2,256	\$ 2,256	\$ 2,256
Element Title	n and Maintenance)	Long-Haul Communications		
Element No.	Recurring Savings (Operation	0303126F	avings	avings
Program	Recurring Sa	Intelligence and Communications	Total Recurring Savings	Total Recurring Savings

for it are projected separately for the Future Years Defense Program and are not included in the statistical projection of our audit results This chart summarizes results identified in Appendix E. Since the circuit represented above is not part of our audit sample, cost savings (3.80 percent for FY 1992, 3.60 percent for FY 1993, 3.30 percent for FY 1994, 3.10 percent for FY 1995, 3.10 percent for FY 1996) for the for the San Antonio area. Using the FY 1991 recurring savings (\$2,256) for the base year, we applied the established DoD inflation factor next 5 fiscal years and calculated the total net savings for the Future Years Defense Program to be approximately \$15,000. Note:

### **Appendix I. Results of Reevaluation**

The CCSDs in italics are shown in Appendix D and the remainder of the CCSDs are listed in Appendix C under the various categories and tables.

### CCSDs 1/ Retained from Draft Report

### Department of the Army

CCSD	CCSD	CCSD
UTNX 6J2N	UWJD 24JS	USA9 72JH
UUED 7EST	UWJD 24JU	US29 754D
UUED 7ESU	UWJD 26F3	
UUBV 7KHK	URED 7WXA	
UUBV 7KHN	URED 7YFP	

### Department of the Air Force

CCSD	CCSD	CCSD	CCSD
JAKD 7BVY	JRPD 7ECX	JUE9 7555 <sup>2/</sup>	JAK9 74J4
JAKD 7CC5	JRPD 7EX4	JUE9 7556	JAKD 7B4S
JAKD 7H6F	JRPD 7FB3	JUE9 75Q4	JAKD 7BOH
JAKD 7H6G	JRPD 7FB4	JUE9 75ZG	JAKD 7BS3
JAKD 7H6H	JRPD 7GRP	JUE9 75ZH	JAKD 7GXZ
JAKD 7H6J	JRPD 7GYH	JUE9 75ZJ	JRPD 7DS6
JAKD 7H6K	JRPD 7HBQ	JUE9 76YT	JUE9 75Q3
JAKD 7JTT	JRPD 7JJN	JUE9 774U	JZQ9 76Y4
JAKD 7SKP	JRPD 7KZG	JUE9 77FR	
JAKD 7WQZ	JRPD 7NCX	JUE9 7837	
JAKD 7ZDK	JRPD 7PNX	JUE9 78EA	
JAKD 7ZTN	JRPD 7XPP	JUED 7DTW	
JAKM 7CJM	JRPD GD75	JYED 2AFW	
JAKM 7JHN	JRPM 7EPA	JZQ9 72E0	
JAKM 7JTB	JT1X 6G0E	JZQ9 72EY	
JAKM A293	JTDD 7BY0	JZQ9 72EZ	
JQAD 7UFH	JTIX 6H8L	JZQ9 753J	
JRP9 72ZF	JTNX 6G44	JZQD 7DVK	
JRP9 730L	JTNX 6J1S	JZQD 7F8E	
JRPD 7E0L	JUE9 72VK	JZQD 7YDD	

### **Defense Logistics Agency**

### **Defense Mapping Agency**

CCSD	CCSD
NSUD 7A2G	NUED 7CDH
	NUED 7E8X

See footnotes on next page.

### CCSDs 1/ Added as a Result of Reevaluation

### Department of the Army

CCSD

**URE9 72ZZ** 

### Department of the Air Force

CCSD	CCSD
JAK9 74J1	JRPD 7FM3
JAK9 7813	JRPD 7JZB
JAKD 7BT6	JRPD 7PVY
JAKM 7GV5	JTIX 6N8V

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2^{\prime}}$  Command Communications Service Designator. This circuit, which was initially recommended for termination in the draft report, is now recommended for DDN rehoming.

### Appendix J. Summary of Potential Benefits Resulting from Audit

Recommendation Reference	Description of Benefit	Amount and/or Type of Benefit
1. and 2.	Economy and Efficiency. Reconfiguring and terminating the circuits identified helps ensure that the most effective, efficient, and least costly service is obtained.	Monetary benefits of \$8,870,880* (Funds put to better use-Budget year 1994). Appropriation-Operation and Maintenance

\*Using statistical sampling techniques, we determined that reconfiguration and termination solutions could reduce the cost of the 857 DCS circuits by a projected \$2,578,782 annually (plus or minus 16.9 percent or plus or minus \$435,365 at a 90-percent confidence level). The 6-year total net cost reductions and net recurring cost reductions over the Future Years Defense Program (FY 1991 through FY 1996) pertaining to the cutoff date for the audit as shown in Appendixes G and H amounted to \$16,770,978. However, because of the time elapsed since the audit universe cutoff date; the date that the circuit reconfigurations and terminations were identified to management in our draft report; and the nature of the management comments on the draft report, the potential cost avoidances of about \$7.9 million for FY 1991 through FY 1993 may not have been realized and have been deleted from the total net recurring savings. The remaining \$8.9 million should be put to better use.

# Appendix K. Organizations Visited or Contacted

## . Office of the Secretary of Defense

Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence), Washington, DC

## **Department of the Army**

Office of the Director of Information Systems for Command, Control, Communications and Computers, Washington, DC
Headquarters, U.S. Army Information Systems Command, Fort Huachuca, AZ
U.S. Army Commercial Communications Office, Fort Huachuca, AZ
Fort Sam Houston, TX

## **Department of the Air Force**

Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, Systems for Command, Control,
Communications and Computers, Washington, DC
Headquarters, Air Training Command, Randolph Air Force Base, TX
Headquarters, Military Personnel Center, Randolph Air Force Base, TX
Headquarters, Air Force Command, Control, Communications, and Computer Agency,
Scott Air Force Base, IL
Air Force Telecommunications Certification Office, Scott Air Force Base, IL
Brooks Air Force Base, TX
Kelly Air Force Base, TX
Lackland Air Force Base, TX
Randolph Air Force Base, TX
Computer Services Center, San Antonio, TX

## **Defense Agencies**

Defense Communications Agency\*
Acquisition Management Organization, Washington, DC
Defense Commercial Communications Office, Scott Air Force Base, IL
Telecommunications Management and Services Office, Scott Air Force Base, IL
Resource Management Directorate, Washington, DC
Defense Communications Systems Organization, Washington, DC
Information Management Organization, Washington, DC

<sup>\*</sup>Now the Defense Information Systems Agency.

# Appendix L. Report Distribution

## Office of the Secretary of Defense

Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence)
Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Public Affairs
Comptroller of the Department of Defense

## **Department of the Army**

Auditor General, Department of the Army

## Department of the Navy

Auditor General, Naval Audit Service

## **Department of the Air Force**

Secretary of the Air Force
Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and
Comptroller)
Auditor General, U.S. Air Force Audit Agency

## **Defense Agencies**

Director, Defense Contract Audit Agency
Director, Defense Information Systems Agency
Director, Defense Logistics Agency
Inspector General, Defense Intelligence Agency
Inspector General, National Security Agency
Director, Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange

## **Non-DoD Organizations**

Office of Management and Budget
U.S. General Accounting Office
National Security and International Affairs Division
Technical Information Center

## Non-DoD Organizations (cont'd)

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member of Each of the Following Congressional Committees and Subcommittees:

Senate Committee on Appropriations

Senate Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations

Senate Committee on Armed Services

Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

Senate Subcommittee on Communications, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation

Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs

House Committee on Appropriations

House Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations

House Committee on Armed Services

House Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations,

Committee on Armed Services

House Committee on Energy and Commerce House Subcommittee on Telecommunications and Finance, Committee

on Energy and Commerce

House Committee on Government Operations

House Subcommittee on Legislation and National Security,

Committee on Government Operations

This page was left out of orignial document

# **Part IV - Management Comments**

# **Department of the Army**

Final Report Reference



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
WASHINGTON, DC 20010-0107



Office, Director of Information Systems for Command, Control Communications, & Computers

SAIS-PSP (36-5c)

19 OCT 1020

MEMORANDUM FOR INSPECTOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ATTN:
AUDITING, 400 ARMY NAVY DRIVE, ARLINGTON,
VIRGINIA 22202-2884

SUBJECT: Draft Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - San Antonio Area (Project No. ORD-0043.01)

The following comments are provided in response to the HQDA, SAIG-PA memorandum dated 10 Jul 90, subject as above.

Recommendation 1a stated: Examine each of the Army circuits identified as potential reconfiguration candidates listed in Appendix C to determine the technical feasibility for and the associated net cost savings from reconfiguration.

Concur with the recommendation. The US Army Commercial Communications Office examined each of the Army circuits but did not find any that could be reconfigured by Army to achieve any resulting cost savings. Enclosure 1 gives detailed information to support continuing the present configuration. Since reconfiguration on a DOD-wide basis may produce different results, this recommendation should also be directed to the Defense Communications Agency (DCA).

Recommendation 1b stated: Require the appropriate user activity to initiate Requests for Service to reconfigure those circuits identified as technically feasible so that the most efficient and cost effective service is obtained.

Concur with the recommendation. Based on the information provided by the examination of recommendation 1a above, none of the circuits discussed require reconfiguration. If the DCA evaluation of DOD-wide reconfiguration indicates a more efficient configuration, Army will initiate the appropriate Requests for Service.

Recommendation 1c stated: Require the appropriate user activity to initiate Requests for Service to rehome those Defense Data Network (DDN) circuits identified in Appendix D so that the most efficient and cost effective configuration is obtained.

Deleted

13 -Readdressed to DISA as Recommendation 1.

13 Readdressed to DISA as Recommenda-

tion 1.

SAIS-PSP (36-5c) SUBJECT: Draft Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - San Antonio Area (Project No. ORD-0043.01)

Concur with the recommendation. The two Fort Sam Houston circuits identified, US29754D and UAS972JH, need to be rehomed as specified in the report. Since DCA as the DDN System Manager must model and approve all DDN circuits, Army will request quick approval based on the economic advantage of rehoming these circuits. Army will submit Requests for . Service for the rehoming as soon as DCA approval is received.

Army does not agree with the draft report's estimated annual savings. The draft audit report projected monthly savings of \$629 for UAS972JH and \$523 for US29754D. Army's actual monthly cost savings from this action are expected to be \$235.90 for UAS972JH and \$340.54 for US29754D. The disagreement arises from the fact that charges for equipment will remain the same when the circuits are rehomed. Only the mileage portion of the costs will be reduced. A detailed explanation of the Army projections is at enclosure 2.

ODISC4 POC for this action is MAJ'R. Jones, SAIS-PSP, (703) 614-0320.

Enclosures

JESSE (J) TROTTER Colonel, GS

Deputy Director for Policy

Copy Furnished SAIS-AE SAFM ASIR SAIS-PSP (36-5c)

SUBJECT: Enclosure 1 (Examination of Potential Reconfiguration Candidates) to Draft Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - San Antonio Area (Project No. ORD-0043.01)

The following comments are provided in response to recommendation 1a of the subject audit.

Recommendation 1a stated for Army to examine each of the Army circuits identified as potential reconfiguration candidates in Appendix C to determine the technical feasibility for and the associated net cost savings from reconfiguration. There were five basic proposals for reconfiguration.

Proposal: Multiplex circuits UTNX6J2N, UUED7EST, UUED7ESU, and URED7WXA together between Fort Sam Houston and St Louis. RESPONSE: Circuits UUED7EST and UUED7ESU are already channels riding on trunk UTNX6J2N so this part of the finding is redundant. Circuit URED7WXA cannot be added to the trunk because it is a 2.4kbs multi-point DARMS circuit originating at Fort Sam Houston with drops at St Louis, Fort Leonard Wood, and Little Rock. Since DARMS uses WECO Dataphone II Level II diagnostics monitoring and control from the host computer, a circuit cannot be multiplexed without losing the required monitoring and control capability. DARMS network is comprised of a WANG VS-100 host computer at each CONUS Major Army Command (MACOM) headquarters with 2.4kbs multipoint circuits going from the host to each Reserve and National Guard component location within the CONUSA areas of responsibility. Each DARMS host is connected to the DDN running the X.25 DDN Standard to allow interoperability between the DARMS host computers and other computers. terminal at the remote location is a WANG PC running a synchronous protocol that is not compatible with the ports of a DDN TAC. WANG makes an X.25 basic assembly that can be used in each individual PC for connection into the DDN, but is not viable because a PC equipped with X.25 Basic could not talk to the host which is running X.25 Standard. This would mean that each individual PC running X.25 would require the same host DDN port connection into a DDN node that the host computer requires which would not only be cost prohibitive, but technically impossible because DCA only has a limited number of host port connections available. DARMS circuits cannot be connected to the DDN by a gateway because the DARMS host computers do not have INTERNET protocol. If DDN was technically feasible, the optimum configuration would cost approximately \$1,636 monthly to connect the four locations, assuming that gateway connections are available at Fort Sam

Enclosure 1

SAIS-PSP (36-5c) SUBJECT: Enclosure 1 (Examination of Potential Reconfiguration Candidates) to Draft Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - San Antonio Area (Project No. ORD-0043.01)

Houston and St Louis. The other two locations do not have gateways available. This cost estimate is based upon node connections for two locations, gateway connections for two locations, and estimated access circuit costs. If ports are not available at the gateways, the DDN connection cost would increase substantially. However, even the estimated optimum DDN configuration cost of \$1,636 does not compare favorably with the monthly multipoint circuit lease cost of \$1,566, especially since port availability is not assured, and connection to the DDN is not technically feasible.

Proposal: Multiplex circuits UWJD24JU and URED7YFP together between Fort Sam Houston and Fort Bliss. RESPONSE: Circuit UWJD24JU is a point-to-point 1.2kbs secure WWMCCS circuit between top secret facilities running secure traffic at the top secret level. Circuit URED7YFP is a 2.4kbs multipoint DARMS circuit originating at Fort Sam Houston with drops at San Antonio, Fort Bliss, Fort Hood, and Seogoville. this circuit cannot be multiplexed for the same reasons given for DARMS circuit URED7WXA above.

Proposal: Start a T1 trunk between Fort Sam Houston and Austin and route circuits UUBV7CB2, UUBV7KHK, UUBV7KHN, UUBV7KU3, UUBV7SUX, and UUBV7UJM on the trunk with leased tail segments from Austin to San Marcos, and Austin to Bryan to provide the OPX connectivity. RESPONSE: A cost analysis using this proposal did not prove to be cost effective. total monthly recurring charge (MRC) for the existing six OPX circuits is \$3,115. The cost estimate for a T1 pipe with tail segments, using AT&T as the default carrier, would bring the MRC up to \$5,100, and that does not even include the cost of purchasing multiplexing equipment or AT&T service charges for installation. The \$5,100 is based upon a MRC of \$3,468 for the T1 pipe between Austin and Fort Sam Houston, and tail segment MRCs of \$432 from Austin to San Marcos, and \$1200 from Austin to Bryan. This solution is also dependent on whether the Air Force base in Austin is willing and has space for the multiplexors. Even if competition made it possible to acquire the T1 service at a 15 to 20 percent lower cost over the AT&T rates, the proposed solution would still not be cost effective. Fractional T1 is also cost prohibitive. The same requirements would exist for purchasing multiplexors and sharing Air Force facilities if the fractional T1 is configured the same way as the T1 solution. The MRC for such a configuration would be \$3,961, or broken out, \$2,329 for the 384kbs fractional T1 between Austin and Fort Sam Houston, and \$432 for the tail segment to San Marcos, and \$1200 to Bryan,

SAIS-PSP (36-5c)
SUBJECT: Enclosure 1 (Examination of Potential
Reconfiguration Candidates) to Draft Audit Report on
Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - San Antonio
Area (Project No. ORD-0043.01)

plus multiplexing and non-recurring installation costs. Direct fractional T1 does not fare any better because the MRC for just a 384kbs fractional T1 link to Bryan is \$3,140, and that does not even consider San Marcos.

Proposal: Convert circuits UWJD24JS, UWJD24JU, and UWJD26F3 to dial-up service vice dedicated. RESPONSE: All three of these circuits are used in support of WWMCCS command and control. Converting the dedicated WWMCCS circuits at Fort Sam Houston to dial-up was discussed with the Army WWMCCS Information Systems Program Manager, Mr Art Taylor. Mr Taylor said the WWMCCS network is a JCS network, and it is configured in accordance with JCS Publications 6 and 19. Currently, dial-up service is only used where authorized. This will change because the WWMCCS network is currently being redesigned, and plans call for changing all dedicated circuits that run at speeds lower than 9.6kbs to dial-up. The redesign is a result of a COOP plan that has been approved by JCS, and the resulting changes will include the Fort Sam Houston circuits mentioned because of their speed requirements. Fort McPherson will submit requests for service (RFS) for all affected circuits after redesign plans are finalized.

Proposal: Circuit UJNV7B1T was disconnected effective 1 Feb 90, and no longer appears in the WWOLS database.

SAIS-PSP (36-5c)

SUBJECT: Enclosure 2 (Estimated Cost Savings) to Draft Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - San Antonio Area (Project No. ORD-0043.01)

The following comments are provided in response to recommendation 1c of the subject audit.

Recommendation 1c required the appropriate user activity to initiate Requests for Service to rehome those Defense Data Network circuits identified in Appendix D so that the most efficient and cost effective configuration is obtained. Monthly recurring cost savings shown by the draft Audit Report are \$523 for US29754D and \$629 for UAS972JH. The Army estimates are \$340 for US29754D and \$236 for UAS972JH.

The Army estimates were extracted from official Inventory of Service records which are attached. The savings include mileage, conditioning, access coordination, management fees, and central office connection fees. Equipment and interface costs were included as savings in the DODIG estimate but not in the Army estimate because the requirement (and cost) for the termination equipment will not change when the service is rehomed.

Costs associated with circuit UAS972JH are as follows:

```
CSA ABI35Q SW35D
Mileage: $ 0 $ 210.60 *
Conditioning: $ 0 $ 24.30 *
Equipment: $ 376.00 $ 18.00
Total: $ 376.00 $ 252.90 ($253)
Grand Total: $629
Army estimated savings (* items): $235.00
```

Costs associated with circuit US29754D are as follows:

```
CSA GTESD
Mileage: $ 233.48 *
Access Coord: $ 21.10 *
Mgmt Fee: $ 65.96 *
Cent Ofc Conn: $ 20.00 *
Equipment: $ 202.00
Total: $ 542.54
Grand Total: $543
Army estimated savings (* items): $341.00
```

Enclosure 2

## Department of the Air Force



DERARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF STAFF
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20330

19 NOV 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR ASSISTANT INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR AUDITING OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DOD

SUBJECT: DOD(IG) Draft Audit Report on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs - San Antonio Area (Project No. ORD-0043.01) (Your Memo, 5 Jul 1990) -INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

We appreciate this opportunity to comment on subject draft audit. We concur with the findings of the audit. We have initiated several actions to more cost-effectively obtain long-haul communications within the Air Force. Some of these initiatives include:

- a. The Air Force Concentrator Program—requires Air Force Defense Data Network (DDN) users to access this network through a single base concentrator, significantly reducing the Air Force's DDN and circuit costs. Concentrators are currently installed at 128 bases, and we are in the process of rehoming all Air Force DDN users. Anticipated concentrator savings have been debited from the Air Force's budget submission.
- b. The AFCC PILOT program and the Air Force Integrated Telecommunications Network (AFNET)—bundles leased circuits throughout the Air Force. The AFCC PILOT program reached IOC on 15 Oct 90, and responses to the AFNET Request for Proposals are currently in source selection. Most of the circuits recommended for bundling are planned for inclusion on these two networks. The expected bundling savings have been debited in our budget submission or credited to DMRD 924 (ADP Regionalization). An additional bundling decrement is expected through DMRD 968 (Long Haul Comm) and PBD 167 (Productivity Investment Fund).
- c. Additionally, the Air Force currently requires a biennial review/revalidation of all its leased circuits. The four circuits identified in the audit for termination will most likely been identified for termination during this exercise.

Attached are additional comments/observations concerning this audit. In conclusion, we concur with the audit's findings, and request you document the positive long haul initiatives the Air Force has taken when finalizing the report.

LYOU K. MOSEMARN, II Baputy Assistant Scoretary (Communications, Computers & Logistics)

1 Attachment Additional Comments

Final Report Reference

#### Additional Comments on Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs -San Antonio Area (Project No. ORD-8843.81)

### 1. Paragraph la, page 14:

DOD(IG) RECOMMENDATION: Explain each of the Army and Air Force circuits identified as potential reconfiguration candidates listed in Appendix C to determine the technical feasibility for and the associated net cost savings from reconfiguration:

USAF RESPONSE: Concur. Much of this action was already completed as part of the ongoing AFCC Pilot Program and the Air Force Integrated Telecommunications Network (AFNET) Program initiatives. Of the 124 circuits identified for bundling, 109 are already PILOT/AFNET Program candidates. The remaining 15 circuits cannot be bundled cost effectively since PILOT/AFNET nodes are not planned at one or both ends of the circuits and the cost to extend the tail circuits from the AFNET nodes are too high to warrant cost effective bundling. These 15 circuits are: JAKD7J3V, JAKD7JWO, JAKD7JWG, JAKD7JXB, JAKD7KJJ, JAKD7KOF, JAKD7KOH, JAKD7KIQ, JAKD7KIX, JAKD7KR2, JAKD7KUB, JAKD7K25, JAKMA293, JT1X6GOE, and JUE978EA.

Bundling Schedule: The PILOT and AFNET programs are already underway. PILOT IOC was achieved on 15 Oct 90, with approximately 35 circuits scheduled to be bundled into the San Antonio area by 31 Oct. Responses to the AFNET RFP are currently under technical review, with contract award scheduled for Feb 91. The Air Force has provided \$13M in seed funding for AFNET to purchase the necessary multiplexers and management systems.

Anticipated savings from these two programs has already been debited from the Air Force's FY92-FY97 budget submission or credited to DMRD 924 (ADP Regionalization). Additional funding decrements, associated with bundling, are currently under consideration by the OSD Comptroller under PBD 197 (Productivity Investment Fund) and DMRD 968 (Long Haul Comm). No additional savings are available.

### 2. Para 1b, page 14:

DOD(IG) RECOMMENDATION: Require the appropriate user activity to initiate Requests for Service (RFS) to reconfigure those circuits identified as technically feasible so that the most efficient and cost-effective service is obtained.

USAF RESPONSE: Concur. The Air Force Telecommunications Certification Office (AFTCO) has already been tasked to implement both the AFCC Pilot and AFNET programs. To assist them in this initiative, the Air Force has established a contract to perform site surveys at the initial thirty AFNET locations to identify circuits which can be cost effectively bundled. The transition onto PILOT, as stated above, has already begun.

Deleted

13
Readdressed
to DISA
as
Recommendation 1.

### Final Report Reference

13 Readdressed to DISA as Recommendation 1.

-15

### 3. Para Ic, page 14:

DOD(IG) RECOMMENDATION: Require the appropriate user activity to initiate Requests for Service to rehome those Defense Data Network circuits identified in Appendix D, so that the most efficient and cost-effective configuration is obtained.

USAF RESPONSE: CONCUR. Over the past two years the Air Force has procured and installed Defense Data Network (DDN) concentrators for each Air Force base. The Air Force has now directed rehoming of all host computers to go behind these concentrators NLT 31 Dec 90. Not doing so requires an exception. Installation of 128 concentrators is completed, and the resulted savings have already been taken into account in determining the Air Force's reduced FY91 DDN budget. Therefore, no additional savings are available.

### 4. Para 2, page 14:

DOD(IG) RECOMMENDATION: We recommend that the Commander, Air Force Communications Command, require the appropriate user activity to initiate Requests for Service to disconnect those circuits listed in Appendix 3.

USAF RESPONSE: Partially agree. The total annual cost savings in this section should be reduced from \$47,112 to \$20,640 for the reasons indicated below. The final report should also note that the Air Force requires a biennial review and revalidation of all its leased circuits, and that this review began in May 90 with expected completion in Dec 90. This review/revalidation would have most likely discovered the four circuits identified below as termination candidates.

Section I. The following information applies to the schedule of circuits recommended for termination—No Requirements Exists section. Request you decrease the monthly recurring costs (MRC) from \$2947 (\$35,000 annually) to \$1720 (\$20,640 annually) for the reasons stated:

- a. CCSD: JRPD 7DS6. Discontinued 3 Aug 90. Available MRC savings: \$380.
- b. CCSD: JAKD 7GXZ. To be discontinued 2 Nov 90. The cost of this circuit is only \$101/month (\$1212 annually) vice \$405/month (\$4860 annually) as indicated in the report. Available MRC savings: \$101.
- c. CCSD: JUE9 75Q3. Discontinued 1 Oct 90. Available MRC savings: \$712.

- d. CCSD: JAK9 74J4. No action taken. The Computer Services Center ordered this circuit to tie their IBM computer into the DDN. However, there is a technical problem with the IBM asynchronous interface with the DDN node. A solution is being worked and the circuit tested. Projected completion date for resolution is 31 Dec 90. Circuit disconnect and an order for a new start could not be accomplished in time, and problem resolution is much more difficult without the circuit being available for testing. Circuit will carry full-time DDN data when operational. Available MRC savings: 0.
- e. CCSD: JUE9 7555. No action taken. This DDN circuit is part of the MEDNET. This net is used to cross feed information between clinics, medical technicians and doctors. The user advises four DOD auditors arrived during a Staff Assistance Visit resulting in a communications problem between the auditors and the medical administrator. The user states the circuit is still a valid requirement. Available MRC savings: 0.
- f. CCSD: JZQ9 76Y4. Discontinue action in process, RFS generated on 28 Sep 90. Projected discontinuance is 15 Nov 90. Available MRC savings: \$527.
- g. CCSD JAKD 7B4S. No action taken, although this CCSD is being deleted from the DECCO data base. The PRDNOCY series of CCSDs are maintenance contracts against government owned Paradyne modems. PRDNOCY 48237 is matched to a single Paradyne modem which may associate to a new CCSD each time the modem is used in another configuration. AFMPC is revising this complex method of providing maintenance by establishing a single contract for maintenance and using serial numbers under this umbrella contract for tracking and identification. This should clean up the DECCO data base and provide a more efficient method of obtaining the maintenance services from Paradyne. Available MRC savings: \$0.
- Section II. The below information applies to the Activity Could Not Identify or Locate Section Appendix 3. Delete the total MRC of \$979 (\$47,112 annually) for the following reasons:
- a. CCSD JRPD 7CYS. A review of the DECCO files indicates this service was discontinued on 9 Feb 88. An active record was in file until Jan 90 due to a billing closeout. This service was not being billed at the time of the audit and there was no using activity. Delete \$83 MRC (\$996 annually).
- b. CCSD JZQD 7JKA/JZQD 7JKB. These CCSDs represent separate channels on a 9.6KBPS trunk under CCSD JTNX 6G44. The trunk was installed in 1978 with the two 4.8KBPS channels. Later, the service was transferred to a DCTN trunk under the same identifiers. The 7JKA/7JKB CCSDs do not represent billed service. The CCSD for the trunk is the real billing identifier. This service is installed, used, and still required. Delete \$390 MRC (\$4680 annually) from each entry.

- c. CCSD JAKD 7BOH/JAKD 7BS3. These CCSDs represent two channels on a 9.6KBPS trunk leased from CONTEL under CCSD JTIX 65HL. A statistical TDM package terminates the service at each end. Various users at Randolph use the computer remotes to process information from a mainframe Honeywell computer at Scott AFB. The service is valid and used daily. Delete \$58 MRC (\$696 annually).
- d. CCSD JUE9 76V5. A review of DECCO files indicates this circuit was discontinued in Oct 88. An active record was on file until Sep 89 due to a billing closeout. This service was not being billed at the time of the audit and there was no using activity.

## **Audit Team Members**

Director, Readiness and William F. Thomas **Operational Support** 

Deputy Director, Readiness and Operational John A. Gannon

Support

Audit Program Director Senior Auditor Robert M. Murrell

Deborah A. Gilliam Senior Auditor Peter I. Lee Senior Auditor Gilbert A. Nelson Senior Auditor Annie L. Sellers

**Auditor** Lamar Anderson Benedicto M. Dichoso Auditor Mark A. Ives **Auditor** Rebecca A. Lowery Robert L. Maiolatesi **Auditor Auditor** Patrick J. Nix Auditor **Auditor** Clara R. Parker **Auditor** James D. Stockard

Auditor Suk Yo Webb Nancy C. Cipolla Susan D. Grozier Editor

Administrative Support

### INTERNET DOCUMENT INFORMATION FORM

- A . Report Title: Telecommunications Circuit Allocation Programs San Antonio Area
- B. DATE Report Downloaded From the Internet: 04/04/99
- C. Report's Point of Contact: (Name, Organization, Address, Office Symbol, & Ph #): OAIG-AUD (ATTN: AFTS Audit Suggestions) Inspector General, Department of Defense 400 Army Navy Drive (Room 801) Arlington, VA 22202-2884
- D. Currently Applicable Classification Level: Unclassified
- E. Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release
- F. The foregoing information was compiled and provided by: DTIC-OCA, Initials: \_\_VM\_\_ Preparation Date 04/04/99

The foregoing information should exactly correspond to the Title, Report Number, and the Date on the accompanying report document. If there are mismatches, or other questions, contact the above OCA Representative for resolution.